THE WEATHER

Eaths and water orders tomorrow lightly expect.

25-Mile Wind in Sioux City
Locals have Ingeni Meat

SIOUX CITY, Iowa. June 1.-A tornado which struck the heart of the business section of Sioux City this afternoon caused property damage estimated at $108,000. Many men*

TRADE BODIES WIN
ANTI-TRUST TEST

Exchange of Cost, Production
and Price Data Hold Lawful
by Supreme Court.

LOWER COURTS REVERSED

Chief Justice Taft and Two Others Dissent in
Flooring and Cement Cases.

STATE CONTROL REFRACTED

Justice McReynolds, in Opinion, Cites Loy Law "Unconstitutional.

OREGON SCHOOL LAW DECLARED INVALID
BY SUPREME COURT

Bench Unanimously Upholds Right of Parent to Dictate Child's Education.

PRIVATE SCHOOLS JUSTIFIED

State Law is Characterized as Un-
reasonable of "Capital and Mainte-
nance" Institutions.

Three of Court Dissent.

In a decision that has caused some
excitement in the business world and
has been anticipated for some time, the
Supreme Court has reversed a lower
court's order that had refused to permit
the exchange of cost, production, and
price data between trade bodies.

Three of the Court, Chief Justice
Taft and Justices McReynolds and
Van Winkle, dissented in the case, and
said that the order was an attempt to
prevent innovation in trade practices.

The action was taken in a proceeding in
which the government had sought to
consolidate the production of a certain
product. The government had contended
that the exchange of information between
trade bodies was necessary to bring about
such an agreement.

The Supreme Court reversed the lower
court's decision, and said that the order
was an attempt to prevent innovation in
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