J. D. FREDERICKS. IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. Hon. Geo. H. Hutton, Judge. Dept. No. 11. The People of the State of California. Plaintiff. No. 7373. VS. Clarence Darrow. Defendant. REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT. **VOL.** 35 INDEX. Re-D. Re-C. Direct. Cross. John R. Harrington 2758 2774

1	AFTERNOON SESSION. June 21, 1912; 2 P.M.
2	*** *** *** *** ***
3	Defendant in court with counsel.
4	
5.	JOHN R. HARRINGTON,
6	on the stand for further direct examination.
7	MR. FREDERICKS. Q Let me have that telegram exhibit and
8	the dictionary. Never mind for the present; maybe I can
9	get along without it. You testified just before adjourn-
10	ment in regard to a telegram that you said you received
11	from Mr. Johannsen from Reno. I will ask you if you ever at
12	any time had discussed with Mr. Johannsenhad a discussion
13	with Mr. Johannsen in reference to that telegram? A 1 did.
14	Q When? A Sometime later, probably a month afterwards,
15	in the Higgins Building, Los Angeles.
16	Q And who was present? A Johannsen and myself.
17	Q Any one else? A No.
18	Q What was said so far as referred to that telegram?
19	MR. ROGERS. That is objected to as no foundation laid, in-
20	competent, hearsay, irrelevant and immaterial.
21	THE COURT. Qverruled.
22	MR. ROGERS. Except.
23/	A I asked Mr. Johannsen what he meant by sending me a tele-
24	gram.

MR. FREDERICKS. Q What did he say, if anything? A He

25

26

said he sent it--

- MR . ROGERS. The same objection .
- THE COURT. Qverruled.
- 3 A -- to test the code.
- 4 MR. FREDERICKS. Q Now, after you returned to Los Angeles-
- or did you return to Los Angeles after being at San Francisco
- 6 at the time you have referred to along about the 31st of
- 7 July? A I did.

- 8 Q After you returned to Los Angeles, after that time, state
- 9 whether or not you had a conversation with Clarence Darrow
- |10| the defendant in this case, in regard to that telegram and
- 11 in regard to Mrs. Caplan? A I did.
- $_{12}$ Q Who was present? A Mr. Darrow and myself.
- 13 Q Where was the conversation? A In his office in the
- 14 Higgins Building.
- 15 Q How soon after you returned was it? A My recollection
- 16 is that it was the day I returned.
- Q And what day was it when you returned? A I got back
- 18 on the morning of the first of August.
- 19 Q What was that conversation?
- 20 MR · ROGERS. That is objected to as incompetent, irrelevant
- $|\hat{z}_1|$ and immaterial and no foundation laid.
- $_{22}$ | THE COURT. Have you fixed the time and place and persons
- 23 present?
- 24 MR·FREDERICKS· Yes, I think I have, being on the first of
- August, the defendant and the witness alone being present.
- THE COURT * Objection overruled.

- 1 MR. ROGERS. Exception.
- 2 A I told Mr. Darrow that Johannsen went to Chicago with
- 3 Mrs. Caplan and told him that I thought if these things
- 4 | were discovered it would create a great deal of trouble
- 5 for the defense.
- 6 MR · FREDERICKS · Q Well, what did he say, if anything?
- 7 MR . ROGERS . The same objection.
- 8 THE COURT . Qverruled.
- 9 A He said that he understood the circumstances that she
- went east, and he talked the matter over with Tveitmoe and
- Johannsen when he was in San Francisco and itwas all right,
- 12 there were reasons for her going.
- 13 MR. FREDERICKS. Q Was anything said about the telegram?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q What was that?
- 16 MR. ROGERS. The same objection.
- 17 THE COURT. Overruled.
- 18 MR . ROGERS . Exception .
- 19 A lasked Mr. Darrow if he would not tell these men not
- 20 to be communicating with me when they were doing any work
- 21 for him.
- 22 MR FREDERICKS. Q What did he say?
- 23 MR · ROGERS. The same objection.
- 24 THE COURT. Overruled.
- 25 MR. ROGERS. Exception.
- 26 A He said that is all right.



- MR. FREDERICKS. Q Was thereanything else you can think 1
- 2 of inthat conversation? A I don't recall of anything 3 else.
- Q Do you know a witness for the state inthe case of the 4
- 5 Beople vs McNamara by the name of Diekelman, or did you
- know of such a witness? A I never met the man, I never 6
- saw him, I never heard of him until I heard that he was 7
- down in Albuquerque, New Mexico. 8 Q All right, calling your attention to the witness Diekel-9
- man, I will ask you whether or not you had a conversation 10 with Mr. Darrow in regard to Mr. Diekelman and Mr. Hammer-
- 11 A I did. strom? 12
- MR. ROGERS. I object to that as leading and suggestive. 13

17

26

- MR. ROGERS. Exception. 15
- MR . FREDERICKS . About when was that conversation? 16
- Q 1911? A L911. 18
- Q Who was present? A Mr. Darrow and myself. 19

In the latter part of September.

Q Any one else? A No, sir. 20

THE COURT . Overruled.

- Where did that conversation occur? A In Mr. Darrow's 21 office. 22
- Q What was the conversation? 23
- MR . ROGERS. Object to that as incompetent, irrelevant and 24
- immaterial and no foundation laid. 25 THE COURT · Objection overruled ·

1	A 1t was in reference to Mr. Hammers trom taking the witness			
2	Diekelman to Chicago.			
3	MR . ROGERS. I move to strike the answer out as not respon			
4	sive and the conclusion or opinion.			
5	MR . FREDERICKS. Yes, strike out the answer.			
6	THE COURT. It is stricken out.			
7	MR. FREDERICKS. Q Give the conversation in substance,			
8	state what Mr. Darrow said in substance? A Mr. parrow			
9	MR . ROGERS . The same objection .			
10	THE COURT. Overruled.			
11	AMr. parrow said that he had Hammerstrom go down to			
12	Albuquerque, New Mexico and take Diekelman to Chicago so			
13	as to get him away that he could not be a witness for the			
14	state.			
15	MR. FREDERICKS. Q Anything further? A No.			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22	•			
23				
24				
25				
26				

1 Anything said about a telegram? 2 MR ROGERS: I think the witness ought not to be led. 3 THE COURT: Leading questions ought to be avoided, but 4 the witness can answer this question. A That was sub-5 sequent to that time. 6 MR ROGERS: Wait a moment. There is an objection. 7 MR FREDERICKS: All right: withdraw the question. 8 Did you have a conversation with Mr Darrow here in Los 9 Angeles in the Higgins Building, you and he alone, in re-10 gard to sending a telegram to Hammerstrom; yes or no? 11 Α yes sir. 12 When was that conversation? A That was early in 13 October. 14 What was that conversation, insofar as it referred to 15 the matter I have referred to? 16 MR ROGERS: We object to that as leading and suggestive, 17 irrelevant, incompetent, immaterial, no foundation laid. 18 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 19 A Mr Darrow asked me to tele-MR ROGERS: Exception. 20 graph Hammerstrom, who was on his way out to the coast, to 21 Los Angeles, at the time to get off at Salt Lake City and 22 stay away from California until the Diekelman matter 23 blew over. 24Q. Did you know a young man by the name of Cooney? 25 Yes sir. Α

Was he working there for Mr Darrow at that time?

26

Ô

- 1 A yes sir.
- Q With reference to the time, did you know of Mr Comney's
- 3 ever going East? A Yes sir.
- 4 Q With reference to the time Mr Cooney went East, when
- 5 was the conversation with Mr Darrow which he has just re-
- 6 lated in regard to sending a telegram? A It was at
- 7 that time and the telegram asked him to meet Cooney in
- 8 | Salt Lake City.
- 9 MR ROGERS: Wait a moment. That answer is not responsive
- 10 | from that on.
- 11 MR FORD: Tt is explanatory.
- 12 MR FREDERICKS: It saves another question.
- 13 THE COURT: Counsel may want an objection to that ques-
- 14 tion. Strike out the answer.
- 15 MR FREDERICKS: All right. Was anything said in regard
- 16 to Mr Cooney in your conversation with Mr Darrow at the
- time and place above referred to? A Yes sir.
 - 18 Q What was it? A With Cooney?
 - 19 Q No. What was said with Mr Darrow about Mr Cooney,
 - 20 what did Mr Darrow say about Cooney, if anything?
 - 21 MR ROGERS: The same objection.
 - 22 THE COURT: Overruled.
 - 23 A He told me to arrange that Cooney and Hammerstrom
- would meet at the 11tah Hotel in Salt Lake City.
- 25 Q Did you ever hear of a man by the name of Skillen
- in connection with the defense of the McNamara case?

- 1 | A I did.
- 2 Q Did you have a talk with Mr Darrow in regard to Mr
- 3 Skillen? A I did.
- 4 Q About when was that? A My recollection is that that
- 5 would be about the first week in October; in there some-
- 6 where.
- And who was present when you had the conversation?
- 8 A There was nobody but Mr Darrow and myself.
- 9 Q And what was the conversation?
- 10 | MR ROGERS: That is objected to as incompetent, irrelevant
- 11 and immaterial; not within the issues; no foundation laid.
- 12 THE COURT: Objection overruled.
- 13 MR ROGERS: Exception.
- 14 A I told Mr Darrow I was trying to locate this man Skillen
- and he told me that Skillen had already called to his of-
- 16 | fice; that he gave him \$100 and sent him off to Salt Alake
- 17 City so as to keep him out of the state.
- | 18 | Q Did you ever hear of a man by the name of Long, who
- 19 | was a witness for the state in the case of People versus
- 20 McNamara, one of the men in the Times building? A I didnit
- 21 know --
- 22 | MR ROGERS: We object to that as irrelevant, leading and
- 23 | suggestive; no foundation laid.
- 24 THE COURT: Objection overruled.
- 25 | MR MOGERS: Exception. A I didn't know that Long
- 26 was a witness for the state.

- 1 MR ROGERS: I move to strike out the answer as not respon-
- $2 \mid$ sive.
- 3 MR FREDERICKS: No objection.
- 4 THE COURT: Strike it out.
- $^{5}\mid$ Q Do you know where Mr Darrow was on the 2nd day of
- 6 September, 1911? A yes sir.
- Q Where was he? A In Chicago -- in San Francisco.
- 8 Q State whether or not you sent any telegrams to him on
- 9 that day in San Francisco? A I did.
- 10 Q Now, after he returned from San Francisco, some time
- 11 | after he returned from San Francisco, state whether you
- 12 had a conversation with him in regard to a roll of bank
- 13 | bills?
- 14 MR ROGERS: We object to that as irrelevant, incompetent
- and immaterial; leading and sugg estive; no foundation
- laid, the time and place and persons present not mentioned.
- 17 MR FREDERICKS: I am going to lay it.
- 18 THE COURT: Well, the time and place and persons present,
- 19 I thank ought to be fixed.
- 20 MR FREDERICKS: I am going to ask that; I have got to
- 21 specify some certain conversation, and then I will ask
- 22 him where it was, before I ask him what it was.
- 23 THE COURT: All right; if you want it that way; objection
- 24 overruled. A I did.

,	
3p	1
•	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
•	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
)	14
	1 5
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	0.4

26

- Q And when was that conversation and where? A It was between the 20th and 30th of September, 1911,
 - at his house on Bonnie Brae avenue
- Q Here in Los Angeles? A · In Los Angeles ·
- Q What time of day or night? A It was in the evening.
- Q Who was present? A Mr. parrow and I were there together there on the porch.
- Q State that conversation, in so far as it relates to the subject 1 have inquired about.
- MR · ROGERS · We object to that as irrelevant, incompetent and immaterial; manufactured; no foundation laid ·
- MR · FORD · Objection that it is manufactured?
- THE COURT. Objection overruled.
- A Mr. Darrow showed me a roll of bills there in which he to reach stated there was \$10,000 and that if he could arrange, a couple of jurymen that J. B., would never be convicted.
- MR. ROGERS. Let me hear that just a moment.
- (Last answer read by the reporter.)
- so far as it relates to that matter, what you said and what he said? A I told Mr. Darrow not to attempt such a

MR. FREDERICKS. Q What was the rest of the conversation

- thing, it would be his ruin; be the ruin of the case;
- ruin everybody connected with the case. That his conduct
- would be repudiated by the labor leaders all through the country and by the union people all over.
 - Q What, if anything, did he say further? A He then says.

- 1 "I guess you are right, I wont do it."
- 2 | Q Now, coming down to the 28th of November, you remember
- 3 the day on which Bert Franklin was arrested? A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q In the morning of the 28th of November, state whether
- 5 or not on the morning of the 28th of November and at a
- 6 time an hour or so after Bert Franklin had been arrested,
- 7 or sometime after it, state whether or not you had a con-
- 8 versation with Mr. Darrow in his office, you and him alone
- 9 together, in which the subject of bribing a juror was
- 10 discussed? A yes, sir.
- 11 | Q Relate that entire conversation.
- 12 MR. ROGERS. Object to it as incompetent, irrelevant and
- 13 immaterial and no foundation laid, not within the issues.
- 14 THE COURT · overruled ·
- 15 MR. ROGERS. Exception.
- 16 A I was sitting in my office when Mr. parrow came in and
- 17 he called me into his office.
- MR. ROGERS. Pardon me, let's see what time this was.
- 19 MR. FREDERICKS. What time was this?
- 20 A It was inthe forenoon; I couldn,t tell the exact time,
- 21 somewhere about 10 o'clock.
- 22 Q All right, go ahead. A He told me Bert Franklin was
- 23 arrested and 1 asked him what for. He says, "Jury bribing."
- MR. ROGERS. Just a moment--let me hear that answer as to
- what time he said it was.

MR . FORD. If the Court please, I think the counsel heard

- it distinctly. He is interrupting this testimony con-1 tinually to ask what was said. 2
- MR . ROGERS. I can't hear half of it for some reason or 3 another.
- THE COURT · Read the answer. 5
- (Last answer read by the reporter.) 6
- MR. FREDERICKS. Q Go ahead. A Mr. Darrow seemed to be 7
- very nervous --8.

10

26

- MR. ROGERS. I move to strike that out. 9
- to be very nervous. 11

MR . FREDERICKS . Q How did he appear? A He appeared

- MR. ROGERS. The same thing. I move to strike that out as 12
- not responsive. 13
- MR . FREDERICKS 1t may not be responsive to the question 14 but 1 will add that to the question, what was his appear-15 ance. 16
- THE COURT. Motion to strike denied. 17
- MR . ROGERS . Exception . Then it is a double question . 18
- A He appeared to be very nervous. I then asked Mr. 19
- Franklin could involve him in the matter in any way. 20
- He says, "Yes, My God; if he speaks I am ruined." 21
- MR. FREDERICKS. Q Remember anything further that was 22
- said? A No. sir. 23
- Q How long were you there? A Mr. Darrow left the office 24
- then, first; and I went to my room afterwards. 25
 - Q Was anything said at that time in regard to what Mr. Dar

- 1 row had previously told you or promised you? A No, sir. 2 ROGERS. Objected to as calling for a conclusion or MR3 opinion. I don't care for the answer but the question 4 includes something I don't care to have in the record; 5 calling for a conclusion. 6 MR . FREDERICKS. Well, I will withdraw it. 7 THE COURT. Strike out the answer. 8 MR. FREDERICKS . Q Was there anything further said at 9 that conversation that you think of now, in that conversa-10 tion on the morning of the 28th? A No. sir. 11 Q Do you remember having a conversation with Mr. Darrow 12 up at his house in which Mrs. Caplan's taking away and 13 Schmidt, one of the co-defendants with the McNamaras, 14 was mentioned? A Yes, sir. 15 Q Who was present at that conversation? A Mr. Darrow and 16 myself. It was from the house. 17 Q Where was it? A Between the house and the city. We 18 were walking downtown. 19 Q on the street? A on the street. What time of the day or night was it? A It was in the 20 21 morning. 22 Q And about when was it? A It was in the holiday season Q You mean Thanksgiving Holiday? A No. Christmas Holidays 23 24Relate the conversation in so far as it relates to the
 - MR . ROGERS . Objected to as incompetent, irrelevant and

matters I have referred to.

25

immaterial and no foundation laid, and not within the issues.

THE COURT. Overruled.

MR. ROGERS . Exception.

1 He said under no circumstances to tell anybody where Α 2 Mrs Caplan was, as it might involve him. 3 MR FREDERICKS: Coming back to the conversation with Mr 4 Darrow in which he showed you the roll of bills, I will 5 ask you if he said anything about where he got that money 6 and how? MR ROGERS: Object to that as bading and suggestive. I 8 think that under the circumstances the witness better be 9 allowed to tell the conversation without suggestion or in-10 timation. 11 MR FORD: Under the circumstances whenever anything has 12 been overlooked in the examination we go back and call it 13 to the attention of the witness and ask him about it. 14 We don't suggest the answer or anything of the sort. 15 MR FREDERICKS: Let's have a ruling. 16 MR ROGERS: Under the circumstances, by that, I mean the 17 most extraordinary circumstances. 18 THE COURT: Read the question. (Last question read by 19 the reporter.) 20 MR ROGERS: If the witness, when called upon for that 21 conversation had anything to relate, he certainly would 22 have related it if it were not a created conversation, 23 it would not need to be put in the witness' mouth; 24 wouldn't need to be suggested. 25

MR FREDERICKS: That is an argument that memories are

26

always infallible.

- 1 MR ROGERS: He couldn't help remember.
- 2 MR FORD: I don't think this form of the objection should
- 3 be made at this time, that anything is created.
- 4 THE COURT: over ruled.
- 5 MR FREDERICKS: Answer that question. Read the question.
- 6 (Last question read by the reporter.)
- 7 A He told me that he got it from Tveitmoe's bank in San
- 8 Francisco.
- 9 Q Give the conversation in regard to that just as you
- 10 remember it; the substance of it.
- 11 | MR ROGERS: That is objected to as incompetent, irrelevant
- 12 and immaterial. He has already put the words in the wit-
- 13 ness' mouth. Now, he wants him to relate the conversation.
- Why didn't he do that in the first place.
- 15 THE COURT: Objection overruled.
- 16 MR FREDERICKS: Now, what words did he put in the witnes s'
- 17 mouth?
- 18 MR ROGERS: Exception.
- 19 MR FREDERICKS: Read the question.
- (Last question read by the reporter.)
- 21 A It was in connection with my warning him to be care-
- 22 ful about what he was doing, and the chances he was tak-
- 23 ing in trying to reach jurors improperly.
- 24 MR ROGERS: I move to strike out the answer as a conclusion
- 25 or opinion.
- 26 THE COURT: Strike it out.

- BY MR FREDERICKS: Go ahead and state what he said. He said that he had the check cashed in Tveitmoe's bank in San Francisco, so that the money could not be traced through the Los Angeles banks. MR FREDERICKS: Cross-examine. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR ROGERS: Mr Harrington, do you say that Mr Darrow told you out at his house that he had a roll of bills that he got at Tveitmoe's bank in San Francisco? A He showed me a roll of bills which he stated he got there. What were you doing out at his house? A I was out Q there for supper and to discuss the case with him, the McNamara case. Q. You ate at his table? A Yes sir. With his wife? A Yes sir. O Your daughter with you? A Yes sir. You say that Darrow told you he got \$10,000 at Tveitmoe's bank at San Francisco and showed you the roll of bills? A yes sir, showed me a roll of bills. Q A roll of bills. Just out of the spirit of bravado, to show you he had the roll of bills, eh? A I think it
- was more buffoonery.

 Q Buffoonery? A yes sir.

 MR FORD: Just a moment.
 - Q That it was foolishness?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11'

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 MR FREDERICKS: We move to strike out the answer in order
- 2 that we may object to the question.
- 3 THE COURT: Strike out the answer for the purpose of the objection.
- objection.

 MR FREDERICKS: We object to the question on the ground it
- 6 calls for a conclusion of the witness, and it is argumen-
- 8 THE COURT: The objection is overruled, and the answer 9 is restored. Now, g entlemen, we will have to observe the
- same rule both ways, counsel for the prosecution want time to get in their objection.
- 12 MR ROGERS: Very well, sir. What is the record?

 13 (Last three questions and answers read.)
- 14 MR FORD: We object to that as calling for a conclusion
- 16 examination and argumentative.

of the witnews; irrelevant and immaterial; not cross-

THE COURT: Objection overruled. What is your answer?

- 18 A He showed me a roll of bills, yes sir.
- 19 MR ROGERS: You said it was buffoonery. A yes sir.
- 20 Q And he told you he had a roll of bills to buy jurors
- 22 MR FORD: We object to that as argumentative.

with, in the spirit of buffoonery?

- 23 MR ROGERS: He said so.
- 24 MR FORD: Will you let me make my objection, please.
- 25 KR ROGERS: Yes, go on.

15

17

21

26 MR FORD: I will when you quit commenting and grunting.

That is objected to on the ground it is calling for a conclusion of the witness, it is argumentative, incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial, not cross-examination.

4
5
6
7
8
9

A He showed me a roll of bills, yes, sir.

that fun? A No.

Q What do you mean by "buffoonery"? A A spirit of

bravado.

ery?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

MR . FORD. We object to that as irrelevant and immaterial, not cross-examination. THE COURT. Objection overruled.

sir . Q You understand the English language pretty well?

A Yes, sir. Q What do you mean by "buffoonery"?

already been asked and answered. THE COURT. Objection overruled. A Just showing how smart he was. BY MR. ROGERS. Q Showing you how smart he was? A Yes,

22 sir. 23

> smart he was, a kind of a joke? A I didn't regard it as a joke.

Q Do you know the difference between bravado and buffoon-

A I don't know that I could explain the difference. BY MR. ROGERS. Q You are a lawyer, aren't you? A Yes,

MR . FREDERICKS. We object to that on the ground it has

Q That he had \$10,000 to bribe jurors and show you how

Q Now, don't you know that buffoonery is joking?

2778 MR . FORD. Just a moment -- object to that onthe ground it 1 is argumentative. 2 THE COURT. Objection sustained. 3 BY MR. ROGERS. Q Do you know the definition in the 4 dictionaries of buffoon or buffoonery? A I cannot say 5 that I do. 6 Q Well, then, why did you use the word? A It is an 7 ordinary, commonplace word. 8 By that you mean what? A Bravado. 9 ର Bravado? A Yes. 10 Q. That he was showing off? A Yes, sir. 11 Didn't you say a while ago it was not bravado but 12 buffoonery? A No, sir. 13 Q You did not. Now, so far as Mrs. Caplan was concerned, 14 he told you not to say one single, solitary word about 15 where Mrs. Caplan was or it would get him in trouble? 16 A He didn't use that expression, "one single solitary 17 word." 18 Well, words equivalent to that, Mr. Harrington? 19 A Substantially. 20 Substantially so. Did you know where Mrs. Caplan 21 was? A 1 knew she was in Chicago the last I heard of her 22 Q Youwere a lawyer connected with the case? A Yes, 23 sir. 24

Q Did you need any caution not to tell where Mrs. Caplan was? A Not while the case was pending, while the

25

- 1 McNamara case was pending .
- 2 Q This was said while the case was pending, wasn't it?
- 3 A No, sir.
- Q You are testifying for immunity, aren't you? A No,
- 5 sir.
- 6 Q You are testifying to get yourself out of a hole,
- 7 | aren't you? A No, sir.
- Q You went out there to Mr. parrow's house, ate his bread
- g and salt and slept in his house, didn't you? A Yes, sir.
- \mathbf{Q} Now, you go on the stand to supply the missing links,
- don t you?
- 12 MR. FORD. We object to that as argumentative.
- 13 MR. FREDERICKS. Further, it assumes a fact not in evidence,
- that there are any missing links.
- MR FORD. And not cross-examination •
- 16 THE COURT. Objection sustained.
- MR. FORD. Q You went out to Mr. Darrow's house and lived
- there quite a little time, didn't you? A Yes, sir, five
- 19 or six days.
- Q Five or six days. Ate his food and slept in his bed?
 - MR. FORD. We object to that on the ground it has already
- been answered.

- MR · ROGERS · Two weeks, wasn't it?
- THE COURT. Objection overruled.
- 24 A No. 3 it was not two wooks
- A No, sir, it was not two weeks.
 - Q Well, it was along about that time? A No, sir.

Q Whenwas it? A From Friday to the following Thurs-1 day, is my recollection. 2 Q You accepted his hospitality? A Yes, sir. 3 MR · FREDERICKS · That is objected to, may it please the 4 court, as being immaterial and we ask that the answer be 5 stricken out first. 6 THE COURT. Strike out the answer for the purpose of the 7 objection. 8 MR . FREDERICKS . Whether he accepted his hospitality and 9 afterwards testified against him is not a matter that 10 counsel is entitled to inquire into on cross-examination. 11 There may be in his mind some ethical reason which would 12 justify a man in protecting another in the commission of 13 crome, if he had accepted some faver from the other, but 14 I do not think there is any provision in law that gives 15 him any right to assume that another would do it or 16 should do it. 17 THE COURT 1 think counsel is entitled to it upon the 18 theory of searching his motives. Objection overruled. 19 Answer it, please. A What is the ques-MR · ROGERS · Q 20 tion, please. 21 MR. FREDERICKS I suppose his answer can be restored, 22 the did answer it. 23 THE COURT. Yes, the answer isrestored. 24 Do you know Freemont Older of San Francisco? A 25 Q How long have you known Mr. Freemont Older of San Fran-

cisco?

- I met him for the first time, I think, about the last 1
- 2 week in July, or the last half of July.
- 3 Known him ever since? A Occasionally. Q.
- Ever have any conversations with him? A Yes sir. 4
- 5 Talk with him rather freely? A No sir. 0
- Talk with him on the subject of the McNamara case? 6 Q.
- 7 Somewhat.
- Do you mean to say that Mr Darrow showed you a roll of 8 Q
- bills and told you that he was going to bribe witnesses 9
- 11 he used the word "reach".

with it, or jurors with it? A He didn't use the word "bribE

Was there any reason why he should do that, take you

- 13 into his confidence? A No sir.
- Nothing, except to enable you to testify to it in 1415
- case you were called on? 16 MR FORD: Objected to as argumentative, and not cross-
- 18 THE COURT: Objection sustained.

examination.

10

12

17

26

- 19
- MR ROGERS: Any reason why he should tell you he was
- 20 going to reach jurors with a roll of bills that he showed 21
- 22 MR FORD: Objected to on the same ground the preceding
- 23 question was objected to. 24THE COURT: Objection overruled.
- 25

you except to enable you to testify?

- A I thought at the time there was. MR ROGERS: What was it? A I didn't know but what he

- 1 might want me to do it. Feeling me out on it.
- 2 Q Did he suggest to you that you should do it? A No
- 3 sir, I put a damper on that, right away.
- 4 Q What did you say when you put a damper on it? A I
- 5 told him it would be foolish to attempt such a thing;
- 6 it would be his ruin.
- 7 Q And when was that, Mr Harrington? A Between the
- 8 20th and 30th of September, 1911.
- 8 20th and 30th of September, 1911.
- 9 Q Was any living human being ever present at any of these conversations between you and Darrow?
- 11 MR FORD: Objected to as not the proper form of cross-
- 12 examination. Let him ask for each one. The question is
- 13 importinent.
- 14 MR ROGERS: At any of them.
- 15 MR FREDERICKS: I supose it refers to the ones he has tes-
- 16 | tified to?
- 17 MR ROGERS: Yes.
- 18 MR FORD: It is only the form of the question that is ob-
- 19 jected to, not the matter, your Honor, and the tone and
- 20 | language in this question.
- 21 THE COURT: This court is not going to spend any time on
- 22 the tone or language. Objection overruled.
- 23 A No sir.
- 24 MR ROGERS: Then, nobody ever heard Dafrow say any of
- 25 these things to you that you testified to? A Not that I
- 26 know of.

- 1 Q It is just your word for it?
- 2 MR FORD: Objected to as irrelevant and immaterial, and
- 3 | not cross-examination and argumentative.
- 4 THE COURT: Objection sustained.
- 5 MR ROGERS: Did you say you were a lawyer? A Yes sir.
- 6 Q You have been a lawyer how long? A Ten years.
- 7 | Q You have been a corporation lawyer, haven't you?
- 8 A Yes sir.
- 9 Q A lawyer for the railroads of Chicago? A Yes sir,
- 10 one railroad.
- 11 Q One of the railroad companies in Chicago? A Yes sir.
- 12 Q What was your position with them? A Claims attorney.
- 13 Q You are an assistant in the office of the General
- 14 | Counsel? A Yes sir, whenever they had a general council.
- 15 Q What railroad was that? A The Chicago City Railway
- 16 Company.
- 17 Q Do you know Franklin? A Yes sir.
- 18 Q How many times did you met Franklin while you were out
- 19 here? A Probably two or three times during the whole
- 20 time he was employed there.
- 21 | Q Is that all? A That is all.
- 22 Q Didn't you meet him pretty much every day? A I did
- 23 | not.
- Q Didn't you talk with Franklin very often? A I did
 25 not.
- 26 Q Didn't Mr Franklin meet you in your office from time

- 1 to time? A Very, very seldom.
- 2 Q And you are sure of that? A I am. Had no business
- 3 relations whatsoever with Mr Franklin.
- 4 Q And you are sure of that? A Yes sir, absolutely.
- 5 Q Did you visit his office? A No sir, I was never
- 6 there in my life.
- 7 Q Did you meet anywhere else than in your office?
- . W Did you moot anywhole cise than in your office.
- 8 A Yes sir.
- 9 Q Where? A Mr Darrow and he and I went to Mt Lowe to10 gether.
- 11 0 Any other place? A I was at his house one night.
- 12 0 At Mr Franklin's house one night? A Yes sir.
- 12 Q At Mr Franklin's house one night? A Yes sir.
- 13 Q Before you came into this case had you been attorney
- for anybody else or anything else but the railroad company? A Yes sir.
- 16 Q For whom? A I have a private practice in Chicago;
- 17 at least, I did.
- 18 Q Did you ever have any private practice at all, except as
- an attorney for the railroad company? A Yes sir.
- 20 Q Now, commence about the 1st of November, and tell 21 we wour whereshouts where you were and what you did.
- us your whereabouts, where you were and what you did. Not

 22 so much what you did but where you were? A November.
- so much what you did, but where you were? A November, 23 1911?
- Q yes. A I spent all my time in the office at the Hig-25 gins Building.
- 26 Q You were nowhere else, so far as you know, except

incidentally outside occasionally? A I understand what No sir. you mean.

And when Mr Franklin came up to meet Mr Darrow, did he sometimes stop in your office? A Very, very seldom, if at all. He always spent his --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

, 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

what time.

Q And you fix that number as three times? A I say not over three times.

Q All of the time you were there? A All the time that

I was there.

Q Was anybody present when you say Mr. Darrow told you if Franklin talks I am gone, or something of that sort?

A No, sir, he called me into his office; there was nobody there. Q How long were you there? A Not over five minutes.

Q And when was it? A On the morning that Franklin was arrested.

Q About what time? A n the forenoon, I would say about 10 o'clock. Q Well, now, then, why can't you fix the time? A I

can't fix it any closer than that. Q Are you unwilling to fix it because you know we

know Mr. Darrow's whereabout all the time? A No, sir, 1 am not. Q Well, then, do the best you can? A I am giving

you my best recollection of the time that that interview took place. Q Well, then, say whether it was 9, 10, 11, 12 or at

MR . FORD. Objected to on the ground the question has been fully answered. The witness has answered several times it was in the forenoon and about 10 o'clock was

- 2787 his best recollection as to the time. 1 MR . ROGERS . We have Mr. Darrow's whereabouts . 2 MR . FREDERICKS. When you come to put Mr. Parrow on the stand 3 let him swear to what you want him to. 4 THE COURT. What is the question? 5 (last question read by the reporter.) 6 THE COURT Objection sustained. 7 MR . ROGERS . Q You can't give us any sort of time except 8 that it was inthe forenoon. 9 MR. FORD. About 10 o'clock he answered. We object to the 10 question on the ground it has been fully answered. 11 MR. ROGERS. Counsel doesn't need to prompt the Witness. 12 MR. FORD. It has been fully answered. 13 THE COURT. What is your objection? 14 MR. FORD. Object to it upon the ground the question has 15 been fully answered and the court just sustained a objec-16 tion like it in the question before. 17 THE COURT . Objection overruled. 18 I gave you my best recollection as to the time. 19 MR . ROGERS. What Was that? 20 MR . FORD. Objected to on the ground the question has 21 been fully answered. 22
 - THE COURT. Overruled.

24

25

26

- A About 10 o'clock .
- MR . ROBERS. Q Before court time or after court time?
- A 1 do not know what time court convened that day.

i BS

- Q Did he come to your office or youto his? A He came
- 2 to me first, then I accompanied him into his office.
- Q Did you go into his office by his request? A Yes,
- 4 sir.
- 5 Q What did he say to you? A At what time?
- 6 Q Oh, at the time 1 am talking about.
- 7 MR · FREDERICKS. Now, counsel is talking about two times,
- when he requested him to come in or after he got in?
- 9 THE COURT. I think he ought to have that statement.
- 10 MR. ROGERS. Q At the time he came into your office and
- requested you to go into his. A He said, "John, 1
- would like to see you in my office, " or words to that
- 13 effect.
- 14 Q And you went in? A 1 did.
- 15 Q And then what did he say to you? A He said, "Franklin
- has been arrested this morning."
- Q Well? A lasked him what for and he said for jury
- bribing. I then asked Darrow if he could get involved in
- it in any way and he said, "Yes, My God, if Franklin speaks
- 20 I am ruined."
- 21 Q 18 that all? A Substantially.
 - Q Is that what he took you into his office to tell you?
- 23 A 1 don't know.
- \mathbb{Q} Q Did he tell you anything else? A No.
- Q What did you say? A I said nothing. After that he
- 26 went out.

- Q And he called you into his office to tell you that, did he? A I do not know.
- Q Well, that is all he told you after he had called you into his office? A yes.
- Q Who was in your office when he came in? A There
- was nobody there that I recall.

 Q Then, why didn't he tell you, "My God, I am ruined,"
- in your office, couldn't he have done it there just as well as in his?
- 10 MR FORD. We object to that as argumentative, calling for a conclusion of the witness.
- THE COURT. Objection sustained.
 - MR. ROGERS. Q Do you know why he did not tell you in your office instead of his?
 - MR. FREDERICKS. The same objection, for the same reason.
- 16 THE COURT. Objection overruled.
 - A I do not know.

14

15

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

- MR. ROGERS. Q was there any reason observable by you why he called you into his office to tell you he was ruined? A I don't remember of any.
- 21 Q Where was his stenographer at that time? A She, if
 1 remember rightly, she was in her room, which was the
 22 room between Mr. Darrow's and mine.
 - Q Did you pass through the office where she was? A yes.
 - Q Where was Mr. Harriman at that time? A I don't know.
 - Q Well, after he told you that he was ruined, did he go

- out or did you go out? A He left first.

 Q Whom did you ever tell that to first? A To Mr. Lawler.

 Q Who? A (No response.)

 MR · FORD Read the record.

 BY MR · ROGERS · Q Oscar Lawler? A Yes, sir.

 Q The Unit ed States District Attorney? A yes, sir.

 Q When? A About the end of December.
- 7 When? A About the end of December.
 8

- 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

1 Where were you living then? A I had left Mr Dar-Q. 2 row's house the day before, and I was down town. 3 Just left Mr Darrow's house the day before you went to 4 Mr Lawler's and told that to Mr La wler? A Yes sir. 5 0 Weren't you talking to Mr Lawyer while you were at 6 Darrow's house? A No sir. 7 Q. Are you sure of that? A That is my best recollection. 8 Now, don't you know, as a matter of fact, you were talk 9 ing to Lawler all the time you w ere at Darrow's house? 10 Α It is not a fact. 11 You came back here on a subpoena from the Federal 12 Court, didn't you? A I did. 13 Q. How soon after you came here on that subpoena, did 14 you see Mr Lawler? A About 5 days after, 5 or 6 days. 15 How soon after you came back did you go to Mr Darrow's 16 house? A I went there the night I came back. 17Q Didn't you stay there until Mr Darrow was ready to 18 move away, and go just because he was moving? A They 19 were about to move, but I didn't stay --20 Q. And you moved because they were about to move? 21 That is right, but I didn't stay until the last day. Α 22 And you moved the same day that you went to Lawler? Q. 23No sir. My recollection is I moved the day before. ? Α 24MR FREDERICKS: May it please the court, I am a little 25 in doubt as to which time is being referred to. It is

possible that the witness may have come back twice on a

- 1 Federal subpoena, and I am in doubt as to which time this
- 2 refers to.
- 3 THE COURT: The court will entertain a motion to strike tt
- 4 out unless the time is fixed.
- 5 MR FREDERICKS: I do not wish to make a motion to strike
- it out, but I wish to ask. MR APPEL: The question was when
- 7 and he fixed the time as being at the time Mr Darrow was
- 8 moving, and he said it was in the month of December.
- 9 MR FREDERICKS: He came back twice on a Federal subpoena.
- 10 I don, t know which time he is talking about.

 11 THE COURT: Did he say the month of December?
- 12 MR APEL: Yes, so we got the time and the occasion.
- 13 MR FORD: Counsel had been asking him about two different
- and once when he came back on a Federal subpoena, then, he took him back to moving from the house, and I suppose that

occasions that he talked to Mr Lawler, once in December

- is in December he is referring to.
- 18 MR DARROW: December, he is referring to.

 19 MR FORD: If that shows in the record, he is referring
- 21 THE COURT: Yes.
 - 22 MR FREDERICKS: If that is clear, all right; it was not clear in my mind.
- 24 MR ROGERS: Youwere at Mr Darrow's house on Christmas,
- 25 weren't you? A Yes sir.
- 26 Q When did you get back?

to the fact in December --

14

- 1 Is this the first time or the second MR FREDERICKS:
- 2 A I came back twice on a Federal subpoena, I time.
- 3 was brought back from Albuquerque once, and from Chicago
- 4 once.
- 5 The first time. MR ROGERS:
- 6 A The 🧷 MR FREDERICKS: That is the first time, he says.
- 7 first time.
- 8 MR FREDERICKS: All right.
- 9 MR ROGERS: When did you get back the first time? A My
- 10 recollection is it was the Friday before Christmas.
- 11 A long before Christmas? A The Friday, on Friday.
- 12 Youwent straight to Mr Darrow's house? A No, I went
- 13 straight to the office.

No sir.

Α

- 14 Mr Darrow's office? A yes sir.
- 15 But you lived at Mr Darrow's house, didn't you? Q.
- 16 Α I did, yes sir.
- 17 Now, when was it you saw Mr Lawler? A If I remember ପ୍
- 18 rightly it was the following Friday.
- 19 Are you sure of that? A That is my best recollection. Q
- 20 Didn't you report on your subpoena when you came?
- Q. 21
- 22 Are you sare of that? A yes sir, I found out --Q.
- 23
- When did your subpoena call for your attention? Q
- 24 Α It was a forthwith subpoena.
- 25 Then when you came back why didn't you report forth-Q.
- 26 A The grand jury had adjourned, and I didn't go

- 1 near Lawler until they reconvened again.
- 2 Q Now, the first person you told about this, telling
- 3 to you about this spirit of bravado and showing you this
- 4 money was Lawler? A Yes sir.
- 5 Q You say he said to you he got it out of Tveitmoe's
- 6 bank? A yes sir.
- 7 | Q How did you come to put that word "Tweitmoe's" in
- 8 there? Wasn't it because Lawler wanted to get Tveitmoe?
- 9 MR FORD: We object to that in the first place, on the
- 10 ground it is not proper cross-examination to ask how he
- 11 came to say it --
- 12 THE COURT: Objection sustained.
- 13 MR ROGERS: I do not have to assume he is telling the
- 14 truth, sir, I may assume he is putting words in for par-
- 15 | ticular purposes.
- 16 MR FORD: Sure.
- 17 MR ROGERS: In cross-examination I may assume --
- 18 MR FREDERICKS: The objection is sustained. There is
- 19 nothing before the court.
- 20 MR ROGERS: I shall ask it again, then. Didn't you put
- 21 in that word "Tveitmoe" to please Mr Lawler?
- 22 MR FREDERICKS: The same objection, for the same reason.
- 23 THE COURT: Objection overruled. A No sir.
- 24 MR ROGERS: Is there any reason on the face of the earth
- 25 why Mr Darrow should show you \$10,000 and tell you he was
- 26 going to bribe jurors? A I know of no reason except what

I have already said. That is, a spirit of buffoonery? A No, that he was trying to feel me out to see how I would stand for it. That is what you say now, and a while ago, you said it was bravado, or buffoonery, didn't you?

2796 1 MR. FREDERICKS. He said that same thing a while ago. p 2 MR · ROGERS · No. he did not · 3 MR. Fredericks. I will bet you he did and it is in the 4 record . MR . FORD. I object to it on the ground it is argumentative 5 6 and already answered. THE COURT. The record will support you both. The objec-7 tion is it is already/answered and I think that objection 8 9 is well taken . BY MR. ROGERS. Q Now, how did he come to talk to you 10 about Mrs. Caplan? Did you know anything about Mrs. Caplan ! 11 12 whereabouts? MT. FREDERICKS. We object to that as a double question. 13 14 Two questions in one. THE COURT Overruled. 15 A I knew nothing definitely about her whereabouts at that 16 17 time. Q Why was he talking to you about Mrs. Caplan's where-18 abouts if you didn't know anything about them? A I was 19 talking to him about it and we were talking about Caplan 20 21 and Schmidtie at the time. Q Why didn't you give the whole conversation when you are 22 asked for it then? 23 MR . FORD. We object to that as not being proper cross-24 examination. He was not asked for the whole of it. He 25

responded to the question as it was asked. If they want it

- they can get the whole of it.
- 1
- MR. ROGERS. 1s counsel trying to conceal something? 2
- THE COURT. Objection overruled. 3
- MR . FORD . We thought it was not pertinent . 4
- MR . FREDERICKS. No, we thought we would let you bring it
- 5
- out. 6
- THE COURT. Answer the question. 7
- A What is the question? 8
- (Question read.) 9 A 1 thought to be responsive.
- 10 Q Then you knew you were asked for just a little of the 11
- conversation? 12
- MR. FORD. We object to that as not cross-examination, 13
- irrelevant and immaterial. The witness has answered 14 properly, he should respond, and it is up to us; if we
- 15 didn't ask for the whole of the conversation it is
- 16 because we didn't consider the whole of it pertinent.
- 17 We simply ask the questions to bring out what we desire to 18
- put before this jury. Now, we make no objection to 19
- counsel getting out the whole of it, that is what the 20 purpose of cross-examination is to get at it and we do not 21
- object to that. 22

24

25

- THE COURT . Objection overruled.
- MR. APPEL. We do not ask for permission on the part of counsel. If he wants to take the stand as a witness--
 - A What is the question?

they came to the suite they would know who to ask for.

Q Did you spread those cards out generally? A No, sr.

Q To whom did you give those cards? A To the investigators that were working for me at the time.

23

24

25

And who were they? A First there was Mr. Belcher 1 and Mr. Collier and Mr. Sullivan, and later on Mr. Hammerstrom 2 and later on came--Mr. Cooney came next and later on Fitz-3 patrick. 4 Q Now, Mr. Cooney and Mr. Fitzpatrick worked for you a 5 part of the time; what other name. Sullivan? A Sullivan. 6 Q Had you ever lived down at Ocean Park? A Yes, sir. 7 Q Where have youlived at Ocean Park? A At the--I think 8 the number is 309 Ocean Front. 9 Q Have you ever lived at Larry Sullivan's place? A No, 10 sir . 11 Q Have you met Larry Sullivan down there? A Yes, sir. 12 Q Associated with him? A No, sir. 13 Q You have not associated with him? A I said so. 14 Have you lived down there with Cooney? A We roomed 15in the same house, but not together. 16 With Fitzpatrick? A He lives in the same house. 17 With Behm? A No, sir. ହ 18 How close to youdid Behm room? A He lives on Rose 19 avenue, No. 24, that is about a block from where I do. 20 Do you meet occasionally? A Yes, sir. Q 21 Did you see Cooney from time to time? A Yes, sir. 22

23

24

25

- 1 Q Before he went on the stand?
- 2 | Q Did you see Fitzpatrick from time to time before he
- 3 | went on the stand? A yes sir.
- 4 Q Did you see Behm from time to time before he went on
- 5 the stand? A yes sir.
- 6 Q Did you see Cullivan from time to time? A I hadn't
- 7 seen Sullivan until, I think it was last Friday night, I
- 8 hadn't seen him for probably three or four months prior to
- 9 that time.
- 10 Q Do you and Cooney belong to any association to-
- 11 gether? A We belong to one society, yes sir.
- 12 Q Do you belong to any association with Fitzpatrick?
- 13 A I think he belongs to the same society.
- 14 Q Have you met in that society from time to time?
- TI & Maye you met in that soorety from time to time.
- 15 A Never met there. We belong to different Parishes al-16 together, in Chicago.
- 17 Q Who brought Cooney here? A He was employed by Mr
- 18 Darrow at my request.
- 19 Q Who brought Fitzpatrick here? A The same answer will
- 20 apply to him.
- 21 Q That is. Darrow brought him at your request?
- 22 A No sir, employed him at my request.
- 23 | Q Employed him at your request? A Yes sir.
- 24 Q You knew that Cooney had been a witness here? A Yes
- 25 sir.

Q You knew that Fitzpatrick had been a witness here?

- 1 Α Yes sir.
- 2 Cooney ever work with you in the East? A Yes sir,
- 3 worked for me.
- 4 Fitzpatrick ever work for you in the East? A No sir. Q.
- 5 But Cooney had? A Yes sir.
- 6 You spoke of meeting Mr Tveitmoe and Mr Johanneson
- 7 at San Francisco? A Yes sir.
- 8 Was that your first acquaintance with them? A No sir. Q.
- 9 Where did you first know them? A I saw Mr Tveitmoe in
- 10 Chicago, but I had no conversation with him.
- 11 I am speaking of your acquaintance with him. A I met
- 12
- him here in San Francisco about the week between the 15th and 22nd of July. 1911.
- 13 2 2nd of July, 1911.
- 14 Where? A In his office in San Francisco. Q
- 15 Q Did you go there yourself? A I did.
- 16 Did you meet him with anybody? A yes sir.
- 17 Did you ever go to San Jose? A Yes sir. Q.
- 18 Q. With anybody? A Yes sir.
- 19 With whom? A Went with Mr Tveitmoe and Mr Gompers, Q
- 20
- I think these were the only two I went down with, and Mr
- 21 Gompers' secretary.
- 22 At whose invitation or suggestion did you go to San
- 23 Jose? A Mr Tveitmoe's.
- 24 Q How long did you remain at San Jose? A Went down in
- 25 the morning and I came back that same night.
- 26 Did you come back with anyone? A No sir. Q.

Χ

- 1 Q Alone? A Alone.
- 2 Q Where were Mr Tveitmoe and Mr Johannsen? A Mr
- 3 Johannsen was not there.
- 4 Q Mr Johannsen was not there? A No.
- 5 Q Do you know Walter Mathhewson? A Yes sir, I think
- 6 that is the gentleman's name, if you refer to the gentle-
- 7 man in San Jose.
- 8 Q Did you meet him there? A Yes sir.
- 9 Q And left him at San Jose? A Yes sir.
- 10 Q Did you meet Mr Tveitmoe at San Francisco after the
- 11 | San Jose trip? A I think so, yes sir.
- 12 Q How many times? A Oh, two or three times, I don't
- 13 recall the number exactly.
- 14 Q Where? A In his office.
- 15 Q Anywhere but his office? A At his house one time.
- 16 Q At his house? A Yes sir.
- 17 Q At whose invitation? A Mr Tveitmoe's.
- 18 Q Were you his guest on that occasion? A No sir.
- 19 Q How did you come to go? A He asked me to go.
- 20 Q Well, if you were invited to go and went to his house,
- 21 didn't you consider yourself his guest? A In that sense
- 22 of the word, yes. I think --
- 23 Q Take dinner or something of that sort?
- 24 MR FORD: Let the witness explain his answer.
- 25 A That explains it.
- 26 MR ROGERS: How long did you stay? A Probably half an

- 1 hour.
- 2 Did you see Mr Johannsen at his house? A No sir. Q
- 3 Where did you meet Mr Older at San Francisco? A In Q.
- 4 his office.
- 5 Did you go there of your own accord or by invitation?
- 6 I went there by my own accord, I think, the first time, χ
- 7 and then Mr Older invited me back again.
- 8 Then you saw him more than the once? A Yes sir.
- 9 How many times in all? A Probably three or four
- 10 times.
- 11 Anyone with you when you went to see Mr Older? A Yes
- 12 sir.

25

- 13 Who was with you? A My recollection is that Mr Hammer
- 14 strom was there on one occasion.
- On any other occasion? A Mr Darrow and I went to the
- 16 office one night, to Older's office, but we didn't meet
- 17 Mr Older there.
- 18 I am speaking of the times, of course, when you met
- 19 him. A yes. I have no recollection of going there with
- 20 anybody else.
- 21 And you went with Mr Hammerstrom but once? A That is
- 22 my recollection.
- 23 And by yoursedf more than once? A I don't recall that Q.
- 24I went there more than once.
 - Have you any recollection of the date? A No sir.
 - THE COURT: Gentlemen of the jury, remembering the admonition, we will take a recess for ten minutes.

```
2804
       (After recess. Jury returned to court room.)
lp
   1
   2
                              R. HAR RINGTON,
                  JOHN
   3
       on the stand for further examination:
   4
        THE COURT. Proceed wheneveryou are ready, gentlemen,
   5
                        Do you remember Mr. Older being in Los
       MR · ROGERS · Q
   6
       Angeles a day or two before the McNamaras pleaded guilty?
   7
          1 cannot fix the date, whether it was prior to their
   8
       pleading guilty.
   9
          Well, do you remember it was very shortly before?
  10
         1 do not.
       Α
  11
          Do you remember that it was a long time before?
  12
       A 1 do not.
  13
          Do you remember that it was a short time before?
  14
          I do not.
       A
  15
          Do you remember anything about the time that it was?
  16
          I remember the occasion, but I cannot fix the time.
        A
  17
          Well, supposing that it is asserted to you that it
  18
       was about two days before the McNamaras entered their plea
  19
       of guilty, would you deny that? A No, sir.
  20
          Does that impress you as being approximately the correct
  21
        time? A No, sir.
  22
       Q Does it impress you as being the incorrect time? A
                                                                No,
  23
       sir.
  24
       Q Then you don't remember anything about it? A Nothing
```

26

definite; no, sir .

- Q Youwould not deny that it was two days before? A No,
- 2 sir . A day before Thanksgiving?
- 3 Q About two days before the McNamaras pleaded guilty.
- 4 MR FREDERICKS That would be the day before Thanksgiving
 - A No, sir, I do not remember it.
- 6 MR. ROGERS. Q Well, would it impress you say about two
- days before Franklin's arrest? A No, sir.
- 8 Q Would you say it was more than that? A I cannot asso-
- g ciate it with anything, Mr. Rogers.
- $_{10}$ Q You cannot give us any idea of when it was? A No, sir.
- Q Would you go so far as to say it was inthe year 1911?
- 12 A Oh, yes.

- 13 Q Would you say it was inthe latter part of the year?
- A 1 presume it was. I cannot fix the time, I have nothing
- 15 to fix it by.
- Q Would you say it was a short time before the case
- 17 closed?

- MR. FORD. Now, if the court please, if counsel are seeking
- an impeaching question, they can lay the foundation them-
- selves, the time, place and persons present and ask him
- if he didn't have a conversation at that time and such a
- place and such persons present, all in one question.
- THE COURT. Apparently they want to have the witness fix
- the time if he can and they have that right.
 - MR · ROGERS. Yes. His recollection of circumstances seems
- to be good in some things and I think it ought to be good

- 1 in others.
- 2 MR. FREDERICKS . It seems to have been pretty good far
- 3 on cross-examination.
- 4 | MR · ROGERS · Let us see. Q Would it have been in
- 5 November? A 1 have nothing to fix the time by at all.
- 6 Q can you fix it any closer than you can the time you
- 7 say you saw the money out at Darrow's house? A That
- 8 made an indelible impression on my mind, the seeing him
- 9 have the money and his declaration that he was going to
- 10 use it to reach jurors.
- 11 | Q If it is so indelible perhaps you can tell us what time
- 12 that was.
- $_{13}$ A That was between the 20th and 30th of September.
- 14 Q And was that before Older was down here? A I do not
- associate Older's visit with anything.
- 16 Q Was that before Older was down here? A I do not recall.
- 17 I gave you that date but I do not redall when Mr. Older was
- 18 here.
- 19 Q Was it before or after Older was down here? A 1 cannot
- 20 recall.

- Q Wouldn't you even go so far as to say that the time
- 22 Older was here was after the time you say you saw the money
- 23 out at the house of Mr. Darrow? A 1 think 1 would be safe
- in saying that, but as I told you before, I have nothing
- to associate it by at all, or associate it with.
 - Q What is the reason you wont fix it? A I am unable to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q :	Isnit	it	because	you	are	afraid	to?	A	lt	is	not.
-----	-------	----	---------	-----	-----	--------	-----	---	----	----	------

Then 1 will put the question to you: Now about two or three days before the plea of guilty was entered, did you not see Mr. Older at the office of the defense of the McNamaras in the Higgins Building; at that time did not Mr. Older ask you how you came out in your contempt case and didn't you say then to him that the case had been dismissed, or words to that effect; then did you not say the prosecution was only trying to find out the evidence for the defense in the McNamara case, did you not further say there never had been any bribery of any sort, any illegal practices in connection with the case and that you had been instructed by Mr. Darrow and cautioned that everything in connection with the McNamara case must be done according to law and that you had carried out Mr. Parrow's instructions in every particular and that there had been no bribery or corruption of any sort connected with the case?

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 That being said to Mr Older, the editor of the San Francisco
- 2 Bulletin, you and he being present and conversing together,
- 3 others possibly being in hearing, but you and he talking
- 4 together?
- 5 MR FREDERICKS: We object to that question on the ground
- 6 that the persons are not sufficiently stated, if there were
- 7 any others within the knowledge of counsel, or within his
- 8 claims, present, we maintain they should be specified
- 9 in order that it may assist the witness in fixing the
- 10 | time and place.
- 11 MR FORD: We are entitled to know who the witnesses were
- 12 as well as the witness, your Honor.
- 13 MR ROGERS: I say, Mr Older and he being in the conversa-
- 14 | tion; I am unable to say who else, perhaps heard it, but
- 15 he and Older being in the conversation. That will bring
- 16 | it to his recollection.
- 17 | THE COURT: I think that cures any defeats.
- 18 MR FREDERICKS: Yes, I think so, your Honor; if it does
- 19 recall it to his recollection.
- 20 | THE COURT: There is no objection now, I think.
- 21 MR FREDERICKS: No objection now.
- 22 A No sir, I did not.

- 23 MR ROGERS: Or anything to that effect or purpose or in
- 24 | substance to that effect? A No sir.
- 25 Q Or any part of it? A No sir.
 - Q You know Mr Older, do you? A Yes sir.

- You were arrested once in San Francisco, weren't you? 1 0
- 2 Yes sir. Α
- What was the time of that; maybe you can remember that? 3
- 4 On the 19th of September. Α
- How do you remember that date? That is indelibly 5
- fixed in your recollection? A Because I was put in jail. 6
- And when you were put in jail, did you seek Mr Older's 7
- 8 aid in getting you bail? A No sir.
- Did you talk with Mr Older at the time you were put in 9
- jail and had it indelibly fixed on your recollection? 10
- Yes sir, I remember meeting Mr Older at the jail. 11
- Who was present? A Johannsen was there. He got Older 12
- 13 to go on my bond.
- 14 Johannsen was there? A Yes sir. Q.
- Did you have a talk with Mr Older on that occasion? 15
- 16 Α Yes sir.

- Do you remember where that conversation was? A It 17
- was on the way from the jail to his office, and in his of-18
- 19 fice for a minute or two.
- 20 On the way from the jail to Mr Older's office, that
- 21 is the editor of the San Francisco Bulletin, part of the
- 22 conversation being on the way and part in the office, do
- you remember saying to -- that Mr Older asked you whether
- there had been any bribery or sorrupt practices in refer-24 25 ence to witnesses, orany other matter connected with the
- case, and you thereupon replied that all the prosecution 26

```
1
    was trying to do was to put one of the attorneys for the
 2
    defendant on the stand in order to find out what they
 3
    could about the widence for the defense in the McNamara
 4
          That Mr Darrow had instructed you especially and
 5
    everyone connected with the case, that there should be
 6
    no violation of the law in any way, either in the prepara-
 7
    tion or conduct of the case, and that you knew of no brib-
 8
     ery or other corrapt practices in the conduct of the case,
 9
    and did not believe it was possible th ere was any, and you
10
    did not know of any intention on the part of any person in
11
     that behalf, or words to that effect or substance or any
12
    part of it?
13
    MR FREDERICKS: Is that time fixed as the 19th of Sept-
14
     ember?
15
    MR ROGERS: Thereabouts? A No sir, there was no such
16
    conversation took place there.
17
        Did you talk to Mr Older about the subject at all?
18
        In a general way, not about that subject. I did about a
19
    my arrest, contempt proceedings against me.
20
        Did you tell him of anything in the matter of which
21
    you were arrested? A I told him there was nothing in it.
22
         I beg your pardon? A Read the answer.
23
       (Last answer read by the reporter.)
24
        What were you arrested for? A Contempt proceedings,
```

26

not answering questions.

Α

On what?

ecanned by LALAWLIBRARY

Not answering questions before the grand

jury.

- Q What grand jury? A County.
- Q What county? A Los Angeles.
- Q And where were you arrested? A In San Francisco.
- Q You told him there was nothing in it? A Yes sir.
- Q Do you know Frank Wolf? A Yes sir.
- 7 Q How long have you known him? A Since I came to Los 8 Angeles.
- $9 \mid Q$ Do you know what his bustness is? A Newspaper man.
- 0 Do you know he used to manage -- was managing editor
- of the Herald, the Los Angeles Herald? A No sir.
- $12 \mid Q$ You didn't know that? A No sir.
- 13 Q Did you know where his office was since you came to
- 4 Los Angeles? A Part of the time it was in the Higgins
- 15 Building, on the same floor we were on.
- 16 Q And approximately the same floor, the same place that
- 17 you were? A Approximately across from my office.
 - Q Did you see him occasionally? A Occasionally, yes.

1

19

20

- Know him pretty well? A No, sir. Q
- Ever go to San Francisco with him? A Yes, sir. 2
- When was that you went to San Francisco with him? 3
- 1 do not recall the trip. I remember he went up there A 4
- one time and I was onthe same train . 5
- Q Was it June, July, August, September, or when? A 6
- was not July. I will fix it in September, I think. 7
- Thelatter part or fore part of September? A If it is 8
- the trip I think it is, I think it was about the middle 9
- of September. 10
- Did you make more than one trip? 11
- MR . FREDERICKS . To San Francisco . 12
- MR. ROGERS. Q With Mr. Wolf? A No, sir. 13
- Q So there was but one trip and you think that was about 14
- the middle of September? A About the 12th of September 15
- if this is the trip I have in mind. 16
- Did you talk with Wolf going up? A Yes, sir. 17
- Q Talk about Darrow? A No, sir. 18
 - Talk about the case? A I do not remember that we did.
 - On the trip up there did you tell Mr. Wolf that Darrow
 - was very particular that everything should be done onthe
- 21 square with reference to the case or words to that effect
- 22 or substance? A No, sir.
- Or anything of that kind? A No, sir. 24
- Q nNow, you saw Wolf from time to time thereafter up in 25
- the building, didn't you? A Yes, sir. 26

- Q And talked with him from time to time? A Very
- 2 | seldom.
- Q Did you see him soon after Franklin's arrest? A No,
- 4 sir.
- 5 Q pidn't talk to him? A I don't remember talking to
- 6 Wolf after Franklin's arrest.
- 7 Q I am not mentioning the day. A I know what you refer to
- 8 Q But approximately? A No, sir.
- 9 Q Didn't have any talk with him at any time close to
- 10 Franklin's arrest? A 1 might have, passing the time of
- 11 day with him, something like that. We met in the hall
- 12 once in a while. His office was across the hall from
- 13 mine. I had no business relations with him, we didn't
- 14 meet often.

- shortly Q Now, very after you say, within a few days after
- 16 the arrest of Franklin did you and Mr. Woff have a talk at
- the offices of the defense or your offices or in the
- Higgins Building or inthe hall, meet in front thereof, in
- which you told Wolf that it was all nonsense to talk of
- Darrow's being connected in any way with the bribery of
- 20 Darrow's being connected in any way with the bribery
- Lockwood; that you had known Darrow too
- 22 long and been too intimately connected with him and that

you had never seen the slightest sign of any crooked prac-

- tice or any bribery around the office and that you knew
- Darrow could not have known anything about it, or words
- 25 Darrow could not have known any uning about it, or words
- to that effect, or substance or purport? A No, sir.

- 1 Q Nothing like it or any part of it? A No, sir, that
- 2 is absolutely untrue.
- 3 Q You know the Wolf I mean, do you? A I do, I think it
- 4 is that gentleman that had a newspaper office at 925,
- 5 if I remember the number correctly.
- 6 Q You saw him about that time from time to time, did you?
- 7 A I wont say about that time. I saw him around a good
- 8 deal.

- 9 Q You know Belding? A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q W. P. Belding? A Yes sir.
- Q How long have you known him? A A few months prior to
- 12 the termination of that case, the McNamara case.
- $_{13}$ Q When did you first become acquainted with him? A I
- couldn't tell you. It was within a few months, probably
- early October; might fix it about October.
- Q About October? A Yes; 1 do not remember the time he
- 17 showed up around the offices.
- 18 Q How often did you meet him? A Very very frequently.
 - Q Very frequently? and had frequent talks with him?
- 20 A 1 wouldn't say that.
- $_{21}$ Q Well, had occasional talks with him? A Had occasion-
- 22 al talks with him.
- 23 Q Well, you saw him around the offices of the defense on
- $_{24}$ occasion? A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q You know the man I mean, atany rate? A 1 think so.
 - Q Now, a day or two or three "after Franklin's arrest, that

1 don't object to that.

MR. FORD. We also wish to guard against manufactured testimony.

MR. ROGERS. Is that an admission?

4

5
6

- 1 | MR FORD: Oh, don't get childish.
- 2 MR ROGERS: At that time did you say to Belding that a man
- 3 was a fool who would think that Darrow would have anything
- 4 to do with bribing a juror or anybody else, and that you
- 5 knew Darrow had nothing to do with it? A I did not.
- 6 Q Or words to that effect? A No sir.
- 7 Q Or in substance or purport that? A No.
- 8 Q Or anything like it? A No sir.
- 9 Q At any time or place? A No sir.
- 10 | Q If I -- Have I got the time or place wrong? A No sir.
- 11 Q Now, a few weeks before that, while Belding was work-
- 12 ing in the matter of gathering evidence, did you say this
- 13 to Belding --
- 14 MR FORD: What place and persons present?
- 15 MR ROGERS: At the office of the witness, John R. Harring-
- 16 ton, you and he being present, did you say to Belding --
- 17 | MR FORD: Two weeks before?
- 18 MR ROGERS: A few weeks before: I cannot give the exact
- 19 time. That he Belding was not to get anyone to testify
- 20 anything except the truth, that the boss would not stand
- 21 for envelope thing namely Darrow masning Mr Darrow?
- for any such thing, namely, Darrow, meaning Mr Darrow?
- 22 A No sir.
- 23 | Q Or any words to that effect or purport? A No sir.
- 24 Belding was not collecting evidence there in my department
- 25 at all.

Q Or anything like it? A No sir. Belding was a powder

- expert and had nothing to do with the collection of evidence outside 6 his own line, and I had nothing to do with that.
- 3 Q Do you know Mr Dias of the Tribune? A No sir.
- 4 Q Do you know a reporter for the tribune, rather small
- 5 man, dark, who works on the Tribune? A I don't know him
- 6 by name and not by description.
- 7 | Q Do you remember a man who talked to you -- do you
- 8 remember the circumstance that the Tribune of this city had
- 9 published a statement that you had returned from Chicago
- 10 sometime during the month of March, and that you were going
- 11 to testify in the Federal grand jury against Mr Darrow. Do
- 12 von remember the circumstance? A T returned from Chicago
- 12 you remember the circumstance? A I returned from Chicago
- in February. I don't remember the conversation that you
- 14 | refer to.
- 15 Q Call it February, then. We won't quarrel about the
- 16 month. Sometime during the month of February, do you rem-
- 17 ember Mr Dias, a reporter for the Tribune, coming to you
- 18 and asking you about that matter? A No sir.
- 19 Q Do you remember any such circumstance at all? A I do
- 20 not recall it from your description of it.
- 21 Q Do you remember saying, when Mr Dias -- do you remember
- 22 Mr Dias asking you whether the story you were going to
- 29 testify against Mr Darrow was true or not? A T was no
 - testify against Mr Darrow was true or not? A I was not
- asked such a question by anybody.
- 25 Q And you remember saying to Mr Dias that you were not,
- 26 that you knew nothing to testify to, that you had no knowl-

- 1 edge of any bribery or corruption in the case, and no infor-
- 2 mation of any kind against Mr Darrow that you could give
- 3 if you wanted to? A That is not quite true. I used
- 4 the word "jury bribing". I remember such a conversation
- 5 that I had no knowl edge of any jury bribing.
- 6 Now, what was it you said? A That I had no knowl-0
- 7 edge of any jury bribing in connection with the McNamara 8 case.
- 9 Now, does the conversation come back to you? 0
- Not specifically. Α . 11
- Not specifically? A No sir.

14

ves.

- 12 Then, how is it that you remember so particularly
- 13 the use of the words "Jury bribing"? A I remember that
- there was some reporter spoke to me, but I don't connect 15
- it with Mr Dias because I don't know the man.
- 16. Didn't you tell him you didn't have any information
- 17 against Mr Darrow that you could give, or suspicion that 18 you could give af you wanted to? A As regards the jury
- 19 bribing, that is true, and it is true now.
- 20 Did you say as regards jury bribing? A yes sir, up 21
- to the time that Mr Darrow admitted it to me.
- 22 Was that after the alleged time that you alleged that 0 23 you saw the alleged roll of bills out at the house? A 24
- 25 Wasn't that after the time that you say that Darrow
- 26 told you in the office, "My God, if Franklin talks, I am

- 1 ruined." A Yes sir.
- 2 Then, why do you say then, that you didn't have
- 3 any information about any jury bribing? A Because I had
- 4 no personal information about any jury bribing.
- 5 Q. Is that what you mean? A Yes sir.
- 6 Is that your explanation for it? A That is my expla-
- 7 nation for it, and that was what was in my mind.
- 8 Did you know that was competent evidence for the grand 9
- jury? A What? 10 Those statements that you made that you have made here, Q.
- 11 if they are true?
- 12 MR FORD: Object to the question; that is, the last addi-
- 13 tion, "if they are true", as not proper question. 14
- 15 I didn't understand your question.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- 16 MR ROGERS: Mr Harrington, you stated you toad Mr Dias
- 17 that you had no information or suspicion about any jury
- 18 bribing. You say that was after Mr Darrow had told you
- 19 that if Franklin says anything, he was ruined, and after
- 20 he had shown you a roll of bills out at his house? Now,
- what was true? 22
- MR FREDERICKS: Now, the witness has not said he had no 23
- suspicion. 24

21

26

MR ROGERS: yes, he did. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. FORD. He said he had no knowledge of jury bribing.

MR . FREDERICKS . He said he had no personal knowledge .

MR. DARROW. Let's have the reporter read it.

(Testimony read by the reporter.)

MR. FREDERICKS. That was inthe question of counsel.

MR . ROGERS. As regards jury bribing there you answer you

didn't have any information or suspicion concerning jury

bribing, is that true, or was it not?

Up to the time of Franklin's arrest it was absolutely true

nut this was after Franklin's arrest that you told Dias?

A

7 did not say--Was it true what you told Dias or not? A I don't know

Mr. Dias.

Was it true what you told this reporter for the Tribune, 14

or not? A 1 don't know the reporter for the Tribune. 15 Q Was it true what you told this reporter, whether for the

Tribune or not, or was it a lie? A It was not a lie, 17

whatever conversation I had I told him, I told the truth. 18 Q. Then when you said you had no suspicision or information

of any jury bribing you told the truth? A Up to the time

of Franklin's arrest.

This was after Franklin's arrest along in February.

Yes, but I was fixing the time of my knowledge up to

Franklin's arrest.

You told him in February? A Yes, and I related that up to the time of Franklin's arrest, during the pendency

of the trial.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

2822 Q Did you say, "I never had any up until Franklin's ar-1 rest, " when you were talking to this reporter? A I do 2 not remember . 3 Q What is the matter, can't you recall? 4 MR. FREDERICKS. I object to that, he had no suspicion of 5 it then--6 MR · ROGERS · Q You were talking then about giving testimony 7 before the grand jury? A I was not. 8 Q Weren't you talking over in the corridor of the Federal 9 Building? A I was not. 10 Q You were not talking in the corridor of the Federal 11 Building? A No, sir, I was trying to avoid these men, 12not to talk to them at all. 13 Q Did you know Mr. Boram of the Record at that time? A I 14 cannot recall him by name. 15 Q He is a rather youngish man with light hair, somewhat 16 A 1 may know him if 1 saw him, 1 cannot recall 17 him by name or description. 18 Q He does not chance to be here, but I will bring him in 19 for your enlightenment tomorrow morning. Did you tell 20 Mr. Boram, while you were waiting over there before the 21 grand jury that you knew absolutely nothing against Mr. 22 parrow and could not tell anything against him of any kind, 23 that you knew of no corruption or bribery of any sort, or 24 words to that effedt? A No, sir, I did not. 25

Q In the corridor of the Federal Building while waiting

- 1 to be called before the grand jury. A No, sir, I did not.
- 2 Q I will put it to you in this way: Did you tell Mr.
- Boram, in February, while you were inthe office of the Unit ed
- 4 States District Attorney waiting to be called before the
- grand jury, in February or the early part of March, when
- 6 Mr. Boram asked you if you were going to testify against
- 7 Darrow, "It will be impossible for me to testify against
- 8 Darrow as 1 know nothing corrupt about his connection with
- 9 the case and all I could testify to would be minor details
- of no importance whatever, or words to that effect?
- A No, sir; absolutely not.
- 12 Q Now, coming back to the time you say at that time in
- 13 March, or late in February, you say you referred to jury
- bribing, you said you knew nothing about any jury bribing?
- 15 A During the pendency of the trial.
- 16 Q Did you say, "During the pendency of the trial" to this
- 17 reporter you were talking to? A Up to Franklin's arrest.
 - Q Did you say so? A Yes, sir.
- Q Did you say that, "I knew nothing about any jury bribing
 - during the pendency of the trial" to this reporter?
- A No, sir, 1 mentioned it that I fixed it up to Franklin's
- 22 arrest.

20

24

25

- 23 Q Did you say so? A Yes, sir.
 - Q To the reporter? A That is my recollection.
 - Q Will you swear to it? A 1 am under oath.
 - Q Will you swear that you said it.?

2824 MR. FORD. Just a moment--the witness has more knowledge 1 of law than counsel. We object to the question on the 2 ground that all the testimony that is given is under oath. 3 MR . ROGERS. You can shake all the prosecution and you wont 4 get a knowledge of law. Will you swear to that? 5 THE COURT. Objection sustained. 6 A I object to that insult also, your Honor. 7 THE COURT. The objection of the witness and counsel are both 8 sustained. 9 Q Do you say you said that to the reporter, that you had 10 no knowledge of it until Franklin's arrest, or words to 11 that effect? 12 MR. FORD. We object to that question as already answered, 13 and we object to it on that ground. 14 MR . ROGERS. 1t has not. 15 MR. FORD Read the record. 16 THE COURT' Objection overruled. 17 I always said I had no knowledge of jury bribing up 18 to thetime of Franklin's arrest. 19

21

20

2324

22

25

- 1 MR ROGERS: I move to strike out the answer. I want an
- 2 answer to my question.
- 3 THE COURT: Read the question.
- 4 (Question read.)
- 5 A I might have.
- 6 MR ROGERS: You might have. Did you? A I probably
- 7 | did.
- 8 Q Did you? A I probably did.
- 9 Q Will you say that you did? A I say, I probably did.
- 10 Q Well, if Darrow had shown you the money in September,
- before, and then in November had told you that if Frank-
- 12 lin said anything he was ruined, did you then tell the
- 13 truth, or did you tell a falsehood? A I remember the time
- 14 that Darrow showed me the money and also his promise that
- 15 he would not bribe the jury, and then I remembered the
- 16 morning Franklin was arrested where he toad me he would
- morning Franklin was arrested where he told me he would be involved in this if Franklin --
- 18 MR ROGERS: We object to that -- move to strike it out.
- MR ROGERS: We object to that -- move to strike it out.
- Anybody who knows anything about law knows that is not
- 20 an answer, and I would like to have it stricken out as not
- 21 being an answer to the question.
- 22 MR FORD: counsel has asked the question calling for an
- explanation as to what the witness understanding is and
- he is giving it to him, and he is shutting him off.
- 25 THE COURT: Mr Ford, the court does not require any assist-
- ance. The only way we can proceed orderly at all here is

- to let the witness have a clear field to make the answer as 1
- he sees fit, and if it is wrong, it will be stricken 2
- 3 out.
- MR ROGERS: I move to strike it out, and would like to have 4
- 5 an answer.
- THE COURT: Read the question, not the answer, just the 6
- 7 question.
- 8 (Question read.)
- 9 I don't know what you refer to.
- 10 THE COURT: Strike out the answer.
- MR FORD: I think the question ought to be stricken out 11
- 12 also, as argumentative.

to them.

- THE COURT: Let the witness answer the question if he can. 13
- A I told the truth as regards Darrow showing me the 14
- 15
- money, and I also told the truth as regards Darrow's ad-
- mission to me the morning Franklin was arrested; I also 16
- told the truth when I said I knew nothing about jury brib-17
- ing prior to that time, inp to the time of Franklin's 18 19 arrest.
- MR ROGERS: And you told the truth when you told the re-20
- 21 porter these things? A Icertainly told the truth in what-22ever I said to them.
- Whether you said it or not. A I said, what I said 23Q. 24
- 25 Q Do you know Judge McNutt? A yes sir.
 - Did you see Judge McNutt after Franklin's arrest? 0

- 1 Α No sir -- I saw him, yes sir.
- 2 Did you talk to him? A No sir. I presume you mean --
- 3 On the afternoon of the day of Franklin's arrest. did Q.
- 4 you talk to Judge McNutt? A I do not recall.
- 5 A I do not recall.

- 6 On the afternoon of Franklin's arrest, did Judge
- 7 McNutt see you in your office in the Higgins Building.
- 8 and ask you whether you had any knowledge or information
- 9 or suspicion that there was anything corrupt in connection
- with the jury, or any other matter in the McNamara case. 11
- or that any bribery had been used in any way, and did you 12
- not then reply to Judge McNutt that you had no more sus-
- 13 picion or knowledge of such a thing than one of the dead?
- 14 Now, that? A No sir, I did not.
- 15 You know Juddge MdNutt is dead, don,t you? A Yes sir.
- 16 0 Is that why you are answering it --
- 17 MR FORD: We object to that, counsel knew he was dead.
- 18 MR ROGERS: yes, and I know what he told me before he died.
- 19 MR FORD: Yes, there is more of your testimony, which is
- 20 characteristic of counsel to put stuff of that sort in,
- 21 characteristic of him to put stuff in that he knows is
- 22 incompetent, with what little knowledge of law he has.
- 23 THE COURT: What is the objection?
- 24 MR FORD: Our objection is to the conduct of counsel in
- 25 asking a question of that sort as absolutely improper, his
- 26 statement at the present time, making a statement as to

- 1 what Judge McNutt said is absolutely improper and he
 2 knows it, and with the high regard he has for ethics he
 3 ought to quit it.
- 4 MR ROGERS: Yes, there were seven of us heard it.
- 5 MR FREDERICKS: More testimony from counsel.
- 6 MR ROGERS: I would not have said that if counsel had not
- done it --- if counsel had not jumped up and said it.
- 8 MR FORD: If the court please, the point I made was, when
- 9 counsel asked a question predicating it on something this
- 10 witness is alleged to have told Judge McNutt, he knew him-
- 11 self that Judge McNutt was dead, and couldn't be brought
- 12 here before this court.
- 13 THE COURT: We all knew it.
- 14 MR FORD: And he wanted to convey to the jury the fact he
- 15 had some knowledge from Judge McNutt, which he knew was
- 16 absolutely hearsay, could not be introduced in the case,
- whether his information is true or false, he knew it
- 18 | could not be introduced in the case.
- 19 MR ROGERS: I didn't know but what he had the grace to
- 20 admit it.
- 21 THE COURT: We all knew Judge McNutt was dead, and there
- vas no objection made to the question at that time.
- 23 MR FORD: The objection was to this question, "Don't you
- 24 know Judge McNutt is dead"? It was an improper question
- and addressed to the witness on the stand, because it was
- an insinuation he was so testifying because Judge McNutt

couldn't take the stand and impeach him, and counsel knew Judge McNutt couldn't.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

THE COURT. I think that is a strained constituction, I don't 17p 1 think the witness understood that. 2 MR . APPEL. We simply ask the witness if such a conversa-3 tiondidn't occur, and in view of the answer he made --4 THE COURT. You inquired if he knew Judge McNut was dead and 5 he said that he did and there is no question for the court 6 at this time. Proceed with your cross-examination. 7 MR . APPEL. There is no occasion for getting mad here. 8 MR . FREDERICKS. Well/ counsel is connubiating about the 9 next question, I would like to know if the record shows that 10 Mr. Appel is appearing inthe role of a peacemaker. 11 MR . APPEL. I said there was too much malice without a 12 fight, and I am referring to you. 13 MR . FREDERICKS. 1 withdraw the insinuation . 14 MR. ROGERS. Q Do you Mrs. Hartenstein? A Yes, sir. 15

Q How long have you known her? A Since I came to Los 16 Angeles. 17 Do you know what her business is? A Yes, sir. 18 Do you know she was a stenographer employed in the 19

offices of the defense? A Yes, sir.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ତ୍ର -

Α

She did work on occasions for you? A Yes, sir . Did you have a conversation with her from time to time? Yes, sir.

Did you have a conversation with her on the afternoon after the arrest of Franklin? A I probably did. I beg your pardon? A I probably did. I spoke to Mrs.

- 1 Hartenstein every day.
- 2 Q What did you say? A I usually spoke to Mrs. Harten-
- 3 stein several times every day.
- Q On that afternoon did you tell her that you had no know-
- 5 ledge or intimation of any kind of bribery or corruption
- 6 in the McNamara case or suspicion of it? A lprobably
- 7 did up to that morning.
- 8 Q Did you tell her that? A If you fix the exact time
- 9 I will give you the answer.
- 10 Q On the afternoon of the day of Franklin's arrest?
- 11 A Did I tell her I had no suspicion of any jury bribing
- 12 in the McNamara case?
- 13 MR FREDERICKS Read the question It is an impeaching
- question and it ought to go into the record.
- 15 (Question read.)
- 16 A Up to the time of Franklin's arrest, yes, sir.
- 17 MR. APPEL. That is not an answer to our question, we asked
- 18 him simply, the law requires us to put the language of our
- 19 witness to the witness here, for the purpose of calling
- 20 his attention to his statements. Now, he always get in
- 21 here lawyer-like, cunning as he is, he gets in here, "Up
- 22 to the time of Franklin's arrest." Weask him whether he
- 23 used that language only and nothing more and he has been
- 24 doing that time and time and over and over again over
- 25 our objections. We ask your Honor to instruct the witness
- 26 to say whether or not he said that.

- THE COURT. Read that answer and see whether he said it or 1 not. Read it. 2
- MR . APPEL . He didn't say it. 3
- THE COURT. Read it.
- (Last answer read.) 5

they--

4

- THE COURT. I think that is a proper answer. He said, 6
- yes, sir, and he made the explanation before the answer, 7
- but he says, "Yes, sir." 8
- MR . APPEL. He says, "Up to Franklin's arrest", your 9
- Honor. 10
- THE COURT. He said "Yes, sir", and explained it. 11
- MR. ROGERS. Q Do you mean to say you said to her, "I never
- 12 had such suspicisions up until Franklin's arrest?"
- 13 that your answer? A I wouldn't say that I added that
- 14 to her. 15
- Q What did you say to her? A I had no suspicion that 16
- 17 MR. FREDERICKS. "What did you say to her?" That is 18
- objected to as hearsay. 19
- MR. ROGERS. After the answer, we are entitled to it. 20
- MR. FREDERICKS. No. we are, you are not. 21

THE COURT. Objection overruled.

- 22 MR . FREDERICKS. Thy, the whold world had a knowledge and
- 23suspicion of jury bribing at the time he was arrested. 24
- How absurd it would be to ask if he had any suspicion of 25 it after Franklin was arrested. 26

THE COURT. The witness has been asked an impeaching 1 equestion and has given a modified answer. Now, counsel 2 has a right to inquire what it was. 3 MR. FORD. He just stated he didn't add the words, "Frank-4 lin's arrest," to her, if you will read back about three 5 questions. 6 MR . ROGERS . Possibly it will be well enough to let the 7 witness do his own testifying. 8 MR . FORD. If you read back the record you will find he 9 admits probably this conversation with Mrs. Hartensteinm 10 saying he did not relate the conversation to her. 11 THE COURT. Counsel asked for the exact words. 12 MR. FREDERICKS. I think the question is "What did you 13 say to her", and that is objected to because it is hear-14 say, and the court overruled the objection. 15 THE COURT. The objection is overruled. 16 MR. FREDERICKS. If you remember any such conversation. 17 A is there any question pending? 18 (Question read.) 19 MR . FREDERICKS. We object to it on the ground it assumes 20 he did have a conversation whereas he has not stated he 21 had a conversation with her at that time, but, if he 22 had one he probably said what was said there. 23 THE COURT. Objection overruled. 24 A 1 told Mrs. Hartenstein 1 had no knowledge that there 25 was any corruption going on with the jury during the

pendency up to the time of Franklin's arrest.

- And that was true? A I had no knowledge of any cor-ruption with the jury up to the time of his arrest. Did you use "knowledge"? A I know not. Did you use the word "suspicion"? A I might have. Q.

- 1 Q Well, then, if you used the word "suspicion" how do
- 2 you account for the fact that you are telling us you saw
- 3 \$10,000 in Darrow's hands, and he told you he was going
- 4 to use it for that purpose?
- MR FREDERICKS: That is objected to as assuming a fact not
- 6 in evidence.
- 7 MR ROGERS: How do you reconcile those two things?
- 8 MR FREDERICKS: The witness has never said he saw \$10,000;
- 9 he said he saw a roll of bills.
- 10 MR ROGERS: That is too technical for me to fuss with.
- 11 THE COURT: Objection overruled.
- A I had no suspicion that there was jury bribing going
- on in the case.
- 14 Q Then, when Darrow told you that he had that amount
- to fix jurors with, and you told him it would ruin every-
- body and so forth and so on, you had no suspicion?
- A I thought he cut it out that night.
- 18 Q You thought he cut it out on your advice, is that so?
- 19 A I don't know whether it was on my advice, but I was
- 20 positively certain he cut it out; I was sure of it.
- 21 Q But, on the morning of Franklin's arrest, before you
- 22 talked to Mrs Hartenstein, you say that Darrow said, "My
- God, if he opens his mouth, I am ruined"? How do you
- reconcile that, Mr Harrington? A It does not need recon-
- 25 ciliation.

Q When you told Mrs Hartenstein you didn't have any sus

The.

- 1 picion of jury bribing, you already had in your mind that
- 2 Darrow, you say, had told you that if Franklin opened his
- 3 mouth he was ruined? A Yes, but that conversation with
- 4 Mrs Hartenstein referred to a time prior to Franklin's
- 5 arrest.

8

- 6 I said the afternoon after Franklin's arrest?
- 7 MR FREDERICKS: Your Honor, we object to the question
- on the ground it is absolutely immaterial and absurd. 9 world had suspicion that jury bribing was going on when
- 10 Franklinwas arrested. Of course, everybody had sus-
- 11 picion of it, it needs no reconciliation, but before he
- 12 was arrested, the man says he didn't know anything about
- 13 any jury bribing.
- 14 What he said to her was before he was arrested.
- MR FREDERICKS: How absurd it would be for him to say he 16
- didn't suspect any jury bribing after the arrest.
- 17 MR APPEL: We take an exception to the statement of the
- 18 District Attorney in the presence of the jury that the
- 19 whole world had suspicion there was jury bribing, because
- 20 on its face, it is absolutely false; it is untrue.
- 21 MR FREDERICKS: There might have been some dark spots
- 22 didn't know about it.
- 23 MR APPEL: It is absolutely false, and not true, if your
- 24 Honor please, and we don't think that the District Attor-
- 25 ney has any right to say that in the presence of the jury.
- 26 I know courts would not tolerate that statement except

for their kindess and benevolency; that is the only excuse 1 they would allow that statement in the record, and the 2 only excuse for allowing such misconduct on the part of 3 the District Attorney. It is absolute benevolent toler-4 ence on the part of the court. I don't think it is pro-5 6 fessional; I don't think it is right. I think it is pre-7 judicial to the rights of this defendant; the District Attorney occupying the high position of District Attorney 8 9 of this great county, with a jury before him, even if the 10 mere office -- I am speaking of the respect that is due 11 to the office. I am not speaking of the respect that is 12 due to the incumbent, to make such a statement as that in the presence of the jury, it is not well timed; it is 13 not right. He knows I am correct in that statement. If 14 15 I appeal to his manhood, if I appeal to his reason, he 16 will admit that is not a proper statement to make to this 17 jury. We are simply trying to get at the condition of 18 the witness' mind, on the afternoon of Franklin's 19 arrest. The arrest, as your Honor knows, occurred at 20 somewhere around 9 o'clock in the morning. If this man 21 talked to Mr Darrow at 10 o'clock, and Mr Darrow says, 22 "My God, if Franklin opens his mouth, I am ruined", if 23 it is true, we say that it is improbable that he knew 24that at that time, because in the very afternoon of that 25 day he says to this young lady, "I have no suspicion at 26 all; I have no knowledge at all of any jury bribing,"

and it is an inconsistent statement, and all we want to know is how it is possible that if he knew on the morning of Franklin's arrest what he claims Mr Darrow told him. how it was possible for him to say that, and to say the truth to this young lady on the afternoon of that day, and that is all the matter at issue; it is not a question whether it was true or not, or anything of that kind. Ιt is concerning the condition of the mind of this man upon that day. THE COURT: Read the question before the reporter leaves. (Last question read by the reporter.) MR FORD: If the court please, our objection goes to this: The witness didn't say in the afternoon, "I have no sus-

The witness didn't say in the afternoon, "I have no suspicion", but he says, "I had no suspicion up until the time Franklin was arrested of jury bribing."

- 198 That is the testimony that was put to him, the impeaching 2 question put to him was as follows: Did you in the 3 afternoon of the day that Franklin was arrested say to Mrs. 4 Hartenstein I had no suspicions of jury bribing. Now, the witness admits that he did. He didn't say that is an 5 6 entirely different thing from saying I have no suspicion, if he had said, "I have no suspicion," in the light of 7 what occurred on the morning and in the light of Franklin's 8 9 arrest, in the light of what Darrow had said to him, in the light of his recollection of the event sometime pre-10 vious to that, it would be inconsistent, but what he said 11 was, "I had no suspicion of jury bribing." 12 MR . ROGERS. The question is useless now and I will with-13 draw it, after counsel has made this statement there is 14 no use of asking the question at all. The answer has been 15 given, it has been put in the witness's mind and I with-16 draw the question.
 - MR . FORD. The answer is inthe record, read the record. 18 THE COURT. Question withdrawn, there is nothing before the 19 20 court .

- MR . FORD. The answer is inthe record, we will read it 21 to the jury later on. 22 THE COURT . Proceed, gentlemen.
- 23 MR. FREDERICKS. Just one moment in this matter of conduct. 24
- I think the matter should be put fairly. Mr. Franklin, on the 25 morning before this conversation, had been publicly arrested 26 a

- the whole town was agog at that time and it is absolutely 1
- absurd to ask the witness, did you have any suspicion that 2
- there was bribery going on or have you any suspicion that 3
- there was bribery going on . I maintain my position was 4
- proper and correct. 5
- THE COURT. The court is not disagreeing with you about 6
- that at all. 7
- MR . ROGERS · I take an exception · 8
- of the district attorney here, that is, we construe his

MR. APPEL. To the court's remarks in approving the remarks

- 10
- statement as that. 11

9

- MR. ROGERS. Q I will ask youthis: Did you not onthe 12
- same occasion at different times, after the arrest of 13
- Franklin in the month of December, at the offices of the 14
- defense in the Higgins Building before they were closed, 15
- say that youdid not believe that Mr. Darrow ever had any-16 thing to do with trying to bribe jurors, to Mrs. Harten-17
- stein--18
- MR . FREDERICKS . Objected to on the ground no fourdation is 19 laid.
- MR. ROGERS. The lady sitting right over here. 21
- MR. FORD. She ought not to be in court here under the rule 22
- THE COURT. Fix the time and place there with certain ty. 23
- MR . ROGERS . I can't fix the time. 24
- MR. FORD. Just a moment.
- 25 MR . ROCERS . The court has asked me a questinn and I will 26

1 reply. During the month succeeding the arrest of Franklin, during the month of December and up to the time of 2 the closure of the offices, of course I cannot give the 3 days of the week and the days of the month; there was 4 several occasions and the person is Mrs. Hartenstein who 5 was inthe office. 6 If the court please, counsel has said Mrs. MR. FORD. 7 Hartenstein is in here and under the circumstances she 8 will be used as a witness and counsel has prepared a 9 number of impeaching questions here in which he must con-10 template the possibility, at least of calling them as 11 witnesses, and they are here in court in violation of the 12 rule. 13 THE COURT. I think that objection seems to be well taken. 14 MR. DARROW. Your Honor, I didn't think about that when 15 she came in, and she probably had no notice of it herself 16 but this is the only question with reference to it. 17 MR . ROGERS. Mrs. Hartenstein, I guess you will have to go 18 I didn't know she was here until she handed up the 19 question. 20 MR. APPEL. No announcement was made to me of the young 21 lady's arrival. 22 THE COURT. All right, let's have the question. 23 (Last question read by the reporter.) 24 MR . FREDERICKS. I object to that on the ground that no 25

foundation is laid and I believe the court ruled.

- THE COURT. Objection overruled.
- 2 A No, sir, I did not.
- 3 MR . ROGERS . You know Mr . Timons a structural iron worker?
- 4 A Yes, sir.

- 5 Q How long have you known Mr. Timons? A I got acquainted
- 6 with him since I came to Los Angeles.
- 7 Q Well, how long since you came to Los Angeles? A Oh,
- I don't remember exactly how long, probably a month or two.
- 9 Q Can you be anywheres sure about it? A 1 would say
- 10 somewhere about the month of August, 1911.
- Q Did you meet him from time to time after that?
- $_{12}$ A Yes, sir.

- $_{13}\mid$ Q Talk with him? A Yes, sir.
- Q Talk with him about the case? Λ Yes, sir.
- Q Talk about various aspects of the matter? A Yes, sir.
- Q After your return from Albuquerque in the latter
- part of December did you have a talk with Mr. Timons
- about the McNamara case or any aspect of #? A Yes, sir.
- 18 about the menamara case of any aspect of 21
- Q Did you tell him then that the whole thing was a
- scheme to involve the defense in trouble? A No, sir.
 - Q And that if there was any/crooked about it you cer-
- 21
- tainly would have known it or would have seen it, and
- that you knew of no evidence of anything of the sort
- that Mr. parrow or anybody else had been connected with in
- bribery of any jurors and that you never had any suspicion of any such thing and that you were sure that everything

done in the case was on the square, or words to that effect? MR . FREDERICKS. We object upon the ground that no founda-tion has been laid, the time and place and persons present. I think the time was laid properly, but the place and persons present was not.

- 1 THE COURT: Do you want to fix that time and persons pre-
- 2 sent?
- 3 MR ROGERS: It was after his return from Albuquerque. I
- 4 think it was the latter part of December.
- 5 MR FREDERICKS: I think the time is sufficient, but the
- 6 place.
- 7 MR ROGERS: I am quite sure the place is the Higgins
- 8 Building, or thereabouts.
- 9 MR FORD: Persons present?
- 10 MR ROGERS: You and Timmons being present, others may have
- 11 been present, but the conversation between the witness
- 12 | and Timmons.
- 13 | THE COURT: You are unable to state any others?
- 14 MR ROGERS: I am not able to state any others at the pre-
- 15 | sent time.
- 16 THE COURT: Do you withdraw the objection?
- 17 MR FREDERICKS: Yes, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Objection withdrawn? A No sir, I had no such
- 19 conversation.
- 20 MR ROGERS: Or anything like it? A No sir.
- 21 MR ROGE S: Or anything to that effect or purport? A No
- 22 sir.
- 23 | Q Nothing in substance like that? A No sir.
- 24 Q You came back from Albuquerque when? A My recollec-
- 25 tion is that I landed in Los Angeles the Friday before
- 26 | Christmas.

- 1 Q That would be -- you were over in the corridor of
- 2 the United States building, the Federal Building? A At
- 3 | what time?
- 4 Q When you were over there to attend the sessions of the
- 5 Federal grand jury? A My recollection is that the first
- 6 visit I made to the Federal Building was on the following
- 7 Friday.
- 8 Q I didn't ask you what time. I asked you if you were
- 9 | not over there in the corridor of the Federal Building?
- 10 A Yes sir.
- 11 Q Did you talk with the newspapermen over there? A No
- 12 | sir.
- 13 Q Not at all? A I might have passed the time of day
- 14 with them.
- 15 Q Talk about the case? A. No sir.
- 16 Q Did you not say to a group of newspapermen there,
- 17 none of whom you knew, none of whose names you knew, but
- were newspapermen attached to the Federal Building, that
- 19 you didn't know a colitage thing against Mr Darrow and
- you didn't know a solitary thing against Mr Darrow and
- 20 | could not testify to a thing against him or words to that
- 21 effect or purpose?
- 22 MR FORD: To that question we object upon the ground that
- 23 the names of the persons present are not put in the ques-
- 24 tion. The object of an impeaching question is to produce
- 25 the person.

THE COURT: Objection sustained.

1 MR ROGERS: The object of an impeaching question, if 2 your Honor please, is not to inform the other side of 3 the persons present, but the inform the witness so as to 4 define, and we have the right to contradict the state-5 ments, upon stating the circumstances. 6 THE COURT: In the nature of things it occurs to me how 7 can counsel know that this witness did not know these per-8 sons? 9 MR APPEL: We have two methods of bringing out contradic-10 tory statements. One method we have is of asking him if 11 he did not say at any particular time, without naming any 12 person at all, such and such a thing. Now, if he denies 13. that, we cannot impeach him at all. We call his atten-14 tion to it, for the purpose of impeachment, to time, 15 place and circumstances. Now, we have a right --16 THE COURT: You say this particular question is not for 17 the purpose of impeachment? 18 MR APPENE We are asking for statements from him whether 19 or not he made statements and if he denies them. the wit-20 ness says he did not, then we have a right to say, did 21 you not say in the presence of so and so, make such and 22 such statements. In the first instance we would have no 23 right to impeach the witness; in the second instance, we 24 would have a right to impeach the witness, after laying 25 the foundation, but the rule that an impeaching question 26

must contain the time, place and persons present and circum-

1 stances, and the thing --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: In order to lay the foundation.

MR APPEL: They must be laid for that purpose. That doesn't preclude us from asking the witness whether or not he made contradictory statements at any time at any other place or any other circumstances.

MR FORD: The section of the code states it so clearly that there cannot be any room for discussion on it. It states the manner in which witnesses may be impeached, a witness may be impeached by the party against whom he was called, by contradictory evidence. That is the evidence he gives on the stand as to the facts comerning the case, and he may be impeached by other witnesses, who will testify that those facts were not true. For instance, he has testified -- those are things concerning the case. Another way in which he may be impeached, is by evidence that his general reputation for truth, honesty and integrity is bad. That is the second way. Then, it goes on with the exception: no act or evidence, particular wrongful acts, except it may be shown by the examination of the witness or the record of a judgment that he has been convicted of a felony, that is one way he may be impeached. there is one other way, possible, and that is in this section, to ask impeaching questions, and whenever an impeaching question is asked counsel has no right to ask an im-

peaching question unless he intends to put the witness on the stand to state the conversation, in case the wit-ness denies it, and if he does, if he knows the name of his witness, he is required to name his witness, and we are entitled to know it, so if it is a fake, we can pro-duce the witness and show this witness is telling the truth -- I object to these characteristic grunts that have been going on here all day --

2181 MR. APPEL. I want to know what kind of a grunt re means. My grunt is a cough grunt. If it is a grunt that comes 2 3 from the lower part, below my lungs, then, of course, 1 4 will sustain the objection, but any that comes from my 5 lungsyour Honor, I cannot avoid. 6 MR . FORD. I wasn't talking about you. 7 MR. ROGERS. If you are talking about me--MR. FORD. Yes. I was. 8 MR. ROGERS. All right, we will take that up later. 9 MR. FORD. Section 2052 provides as follows: (Reading) 10 "A witness may also be impeached by evidence that he has 11 made at other times, statesments inconsistent with his 12 present testimony;" now, that is what they are trying to 13 do in the present instance: They are trying to show 14 at other times outside of this court he has made state-15 ments inconsistent with his present testimony, and this 16 is the method provided. (Reading) "But before this can 17 be done the statements must be related to him, with the 18 circumstances of times, places, and persons present, and 19 he must be asked whether he made such statements, and if 20 so, allowed to explain them. If the statements be in writ-21 ing, they must be shown to the witness before any ques-22 tion is put to him concerning them." 23 MR . FREDERICKS. I suppose the ruling still stands? 24 MR . FORD. The time, place, persons present must be stated-25

THE COURT. Mr. Ford, I dislake to interrupt you. The court

- 1 has sustained your objection before this argument was
- 2 made, and I really see no purpose in it.
- 3 MR. APPEL. Then, your Honor, what I said is all of no
- 4 | avail?
- 5 THE COURT. The court sees no reason for changing its
- 6 ruling.
- 7 MR. ROGERS. Q Do you know Mr. LeCompte Davis? A Yes sir.
- 8 Q How long have you known him? A Since I came to Los
- 9 Angeles in June.
- $_{10}$ | Q Met him early after your coming? A I think so.
- Q You had frequent conversations with him? A Yes, sir.
- Q What was the last conversation you had with him about
- the time of the arrest of Franklin? A 1 had conversations
- 14 with Mr. Pavis right along.
- 15 Q How soon after the arrest of Franklin? A I don't
- 16 remember.
- 17 Q The same day? A I don't remember.
- 18 Q Would you say yes or no? A 1 wouldn't say either way,
- 19 I don't remember. I spoke to Mr. Davis very frequently.
- \mathbb{Q} At his office and at your office? A in our office
- 21 principally.

- Q Did you ever go to his office? A Yes sir.
- Q Did you have a conversation with him on your return
- from Albuquerque about Christmas? A No, sir.
- Q Did you see him? A 1 saw him on the street one day.
 - Q Did you talk with him? A Yes, sir.

- 1 Did you have a conversation with him after you returned 2 from San Francisco? A At what time? 3 When you were arrested in the contempt proceedings? 4 Yes, sir, he was one of my attorneys when I got back. 5 That is in September? A Yes, sir. Q 6 Now, at what time did you come back from Albuquerque. 7 You said about Christmas, as I understand you? 8 Friday before Christmas. 9 Q I am now calling your attention to the time of your 10 return from Albuquerque about Christmas. 1 think the 11 place was at Mr. pavis's office but it might have been at 12 your office. Did you tell Davis that you were satisfied 13 there was no foundation for any charges of bribery against 14 Mr. parrow or any one else connected with the case, that you 15 had known parrow for years and had been closely associated 16 with him all through the case, and had never had -- never 17 seen the slightest suspicions thing connected with any 18 bribery or any corrupt practice, or words to that effect 19 in connection with the case, and that you were sure Darrow 20 had never anything to do with the matter that you knew of, 21 no illegal acts either in connection with jurors or wit-22 nesses or with any matter connected with the case? 23 A No. sir; I did not.
- 24 Q Or words to that effect? A None whatever.
- $|\mathcal{Q}|$ Q Or that in purport or substance? A No.

Q Or anything like it or even a part of it? A No.

MR . ROGERS. I can't finish tonight . I think 1 can
finish tomorrow in a very short time.
MR . FREDERICKS . About how long, Mr. Rogers, so we can
figure?
THE COURT. Saptain Fredericks and Mr. Rogers 1 will confer
with you a moment. Just step aside, Mr. Witness.
(Jury admonished. Recess until 10 o'clock Saturday
morning, June 22, 1912.)
•