

UNITED STATES

U.S. Congress.



OF AMERICA

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 93^d CONGRESS
FIRST SESSION

VOLUME 119—PART 20

JULY 20, 1973 TO JULY 27, 1973

(PAGES 25051 TO 26424)

employers, without attempting to determine the limits to which union power can accumulate and be exercised without substantially injuring the public interest and endangering the survival of the market economy. Enforcement of the antitrust laws as applied to unions will be concerned solely with the latter and not with the former. Thus a union can violate the National Labor Relations Act without running afoul of the antitrust laws, or it can violate the antitrust laws with an action that is not prohibited by the NLRA. In other words, it will be subject to two different types of regulatory action and in this respect it will be no different from business enterprises, which are subject to a variety of restraints.

Bringing unions under the antitrust laws requires a few very simple amendments to the Sherman and Clayton Acts and an equally simple modification of the application of the Norris-La Guardia Act. These changes are long overdue and Congress should take action to put them into effect without further delay.

Mr. President, I send to the desk a bill to effectuate the changes outlined above and ask unanimous consent that the bill be printed in the Record following these remarks.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the Record, as follows:

S. 2237

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That this Act may be cited as the "Antitrust Law Labor Amendments of 1973".

CLAYTON ACT AMENDMENTS

SEC. 2. (a) The second sentence of section 6 of the Act entitled "An Act to supplement existing laws against unlawful restraints and monopolies, and for other purposes", approved October 15, 1914 (38 Stat. 730; 15 U.S.C. 17), is amended by striking out "the antitrust laws" and inserting the following: "any provision of the antitrust laws except as provided by the Act entitled 'An Act to protect trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies', approved July 2, 1890 (26 Stat. 209, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 1 et seq.)."

(b) Section 20 of such Act (29 U.S.C. 52) is amended by—

(1) striking out the word "That" in the first paragraph thereof, and inserting in lieu thereof the words "Except for the purpose of preventing any violation of the Act entitled 'An Act to protect trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies', approved July 2, 1890 (26 Stat. 209, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 1 et seq.);"; and

(2) striking out the word "And" where it first appears in the second paragraph thereof, and inserting in lieu thereof the words "Except for the purpose of preventing any violation of such Act,"; and

(3) striking out the words "any law of the United States" in the second paragraph thereof, and inserting in lieu thereof the words "any other provision of law of the United States".

NORRIS-LA GUARDIA ACT AMENDMENT

SEC. 3. The Act entitled "An Act to amend the judicial Code and to define and limit the jurisdiction of courts sitting in equity, and for other purposes", approved March 23, 1932 (47 Stat. 70; 29 U.S.C. 101 et seq.) is amended by inserting at the end thereof the following new section:

"Sec. 16. Nothing contained in this Act shall deprive any court of the United States of jurisdiction to issue any order or injunc-

tion to restrain any violation or threatened violation of the Act entitled 'An Act to protect trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies', approved July 2, 1890 (26 Stat. 209, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 1 et seq.)."

SHERMAN ACT AMENDMENTS

SEC. 4. (a) Section 1 of the Act entitled "An Act to protect trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies", approved July 2, 1890 (26 Stat. 209, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 1), is amended by—

(1) inserting, immediately after the section designation "Sec. 1.", the subsection designation "(a)"; and

(2) adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

"(b) Every contract or agreement between any labor organization and any employer whereby such employer undertakes to cease or to refrain from using, selling, handling, transporting, or otherwise dealing in any of the products of any producer, processor, or manufacturer which are distributed in trade or commerce among the several States, or with foreign nations, is hereby declared to be illegal. Every person who enters into, attempts to enter into, or combines or conspires with any other person to enter into, any such contract or agreement shall be punished by a fine not exceeding fifty thousand dollars, or by imprisonment not exceeding one year, or both."

(b) Section 3 of such Act (15 U.S.C. 3) is amended by—

(1) inserting, immediately after the section designation "Sec. 3.", the subsection designation "(a)"; and

(2) adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

"(b) Every contract or agreement between any labor organization and any employer whereby such employer undertakes to cease or to refrain from using, selling, handling, transporting, or otherwise dealing in or with any of the products of any producer, processor, or manufacturer which are distributed in trade or commerce in any Territory of the United States or the District of Columbia, or between any such Territory and another, or between any such Territory or Territories and the District of Columbia or any State or foreign nation, or between the District of Columbia and any State or foreign nation, shall be unlawful. Every person who enters into, attempts to enter into, or combines or conspires with any other person to enter into, any such contract or agreement shall be punished by a fine not exceeding fifty thousand dollars, or by imprisonment not exceeding one year, or both."

(c) Section 8 of such Act (15 U.S.C. 7) is amended to read as follows:

"Sec. 8. As used in this Act—
 "(a) the term 'person' or 'persons' includes corporations and associations existing under or authorized by the laws of the United States, the laws of any of the Territories, the laws of any State, or the laws of any foreign country; and

"(b) the term 'labor organization' means any organization of any kind, or any agency or employee representation committee or plan, in which employees participate and which exists for the purpose, in whole or in part, of dealing with employers concerning grievances, labor disputes, wages, rates of pay, hours of employment, or conditions of work."

EFFECTIVE DATE

SEC. 5. The amendments made by this Act shall take effect on the first day of the fourth month beginning after the date of enactment of this Act.

By Mr. MONDALE (for himself
and Mr. SCHWEIKER):

S. 2238. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 to increase the maximum credit and deduction allow-

able with respect to contributions to candidates for public office, to make certain changes in subtitle H of such code with respect to the financing of Presidential election campaigns, and for other purposes. Referred to the Committee on Finance.

PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN FINANCING ACT

Mr. MONDALE. Mr. President, I am introducing today, together with the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. SCHWEIKER), the Presidential Campaign Financing Act of 1973.

This legislation, which provides for substantial public financing of Presidential primary and general elections while severely limiting the size of private contributions, is designed to free those elections from the corrosive and corrupting influence of big money and return them to the American people.

In my judgment, the enactment of our bill—or of one like it—is the single most important election reform that can emerge from Watergate. It is absolutely essential if we are ever to get money off the backs of American politicians and restore integrity and confidence in our political system.

One of the great ironies of Watergate is that some of those who have been among the staunchest opponents of public financing have, through their blatantly illegal activities, made the strongest possible case for its adoption.

The acceptance of corporate contributions, the widespread use of secret funds, the "laundering" of contributions in foreign countries, the solicitation of funds from businesses with important cases pending before Government agencies, the insistence on dealing in cash, the ambassadorships for sale—these are symptoms of a system that is fundamentally flawed.

Perhaps no incident dramatizes the extent of the flaw as strikingly as that involving American Airlines.

Herbert Kalmbach, one of President Nixon's principal fundraisers approached American board chairman, George Spater, at a time when American had pending before the Civil Aeronautics Board a plan for merger with Western Airlines and indicated that a contribution of \$100,000 was "expected."

I knew Mr. Kalmbach to be both the President's personal counsel and counsel for our major competitor (United Airlines).

Mr. Spater said later:

I concluded that a substantial response was called for.

That "substantial response" amounted to a total American Airlines contribution to the Nixon campaign of \$75,000, of which \$55,000 was in clearly illegal corporate funds.

In short, American Airlines was so determined to advance and protect its corporate interests that it consciously decided to violate the law in order to submit to Mr. Kalmbach's intimidation.

Here's how Mr. Spater himself put it:

Under existing laws, a large part of the money raised from the business community for political purposes is given in fear of what could happen if it were not given.

I cannot imagine a more severe indictment of our political fund-raising process, unless it is the now familiar Vesco

affair. That incident dramatized how an individual in apparent trouble with the SEC was solicited for a huge contribution, and how he gained access to one of the highest officials in the Government to discuss his difficulties only 2 hours after delivering the contribution—all in \$100 bills.

And there is the ITT incident in which a huge contribution to help underwrite the GOP National Convention mysteriously coincided with an antitrust settlement between ITT and the Justice Department—a settlement highly beneficial to ITT.

Then there is the Ashland Oil contribution and who knows how many more sordid episodes. When they are all revealed, they will portray a story of government virtually up for sale. They will make a mockery of our principles of free and open representative government. They will make us truly ashamed of what we have allowed to happen to our political process, the most precious of all of our national possessions.

As a Democrat, I can take no comfort in these disclosures. My own party's record of political fund-raising—while never in the same league as the Nixon campaign of 1972—has not always been as open and as forthright as I would like it to have been.

The chief fault lies in the system itself—a system which forces candidates to rely on excessively large contributions if they hope to compete effectively in a modern Presidential campaign.

This system, I am convinced, has a great deal to do with declining public confidence in government. People were asked in 1966 by the Harris Poll, "How often can you trust the government?" Two-thirds answered, "most of the time." Recently the question was asked again and less than half—only 45 percent—said they could trust their government most of the time.

The same poll indicated that only 27 percent of the people had "a great deal of confidence" in the executive branch of the Government—a drop from 41 percent in 1966.

If we are to eliminate the corrosive influence of money on the political process and restore public trust in our Government, we must fundamentally change the system by which we finance our campaigns—especially our Presidential campaigns. The only way to do this effectively, in my judgment, is by severely limiting the amount any individual may contribute to a candidate while at the same time providing substantial public funds to help finance the campaigns. Neither of these steps by itself will be sufficient; any effective reform must embody them both.

The costs of running campaigns in this country are rising so rapidly that this question cannot be put off any longer. It is estimated that last year candidates for all offices spent an estimated total of \$400,000,000—an increase of one-third over 4 years before. In short, the cost of campaigning is rapidly outstripping the ability of most candidates to raise the necessary funds responsibly.

The United States is one of the few western democracies which provide absolutely no public assistance to candi-

dates in its national elections. As Watergate so vividly illustrates, we cannot afford to postpone further this essential measure.

And if this kind of legislation is not enacted in the wake of Watergate, it may never be enacted. That is why Senator SCHWEIKER and I—together with a bipartisan coalition of Senators—intend to push this matter vigorously in the next few months.

John Gardner has called the way in which our campaigns are financed a "national disgrace," and I agree with him. In our common effort to remove that disgrace, I am grateful to Mr. Gardner and Common Cause for their considerable help in preparing the legislation which we are introducing today.

It is not a perfect bill, and we are not irrevocably wedded to every detail in its present form. After circulating it widely, we will make whatever revisions are necessary to make it the most effective possible bill.

We are committed, however, to the principles contained in this measure. And we believe its basic concepts are sound.

These, Mr. President, are the principal features of our bill:

No individual is allowed to contribute more than \$3,000 to any one candidate during an entire Presidential campaign;

Groups which aggregate or "pool" funds are limited to collecting individual contributions of \$25 or less and may in turn contribute to any one candidate no more than \$25,000;

Cash contributions or transactions in excess of \$100 are barred;

The existing tax credit is doubled to make it one-half of any contribution up to \$50 for an individual return and up to \$100 for a joint return; The present tax deduction for contributions is also doubled;

During the prenomination period, each individual contribution up to \$100 will be matched by an equal amount from the Federal Treasury; A candidate must raise \$100,000 in matchable contributions in order to qualify for Federal matching funds; The matching funds will be available beginning 14 months before the date of the general election; There is an overall spending limit of \$15 million during the prenomination period; Matching funds must be spent during the prenomination period and cannot be carried over to the general election period;

The existing \$1 check-off system is retained and strengthened for the general election; Each dollar checked off is matched by another dollar from the Federal Treasury, and the check-off fund is made self-appropriating; For the general election period there is a spending limit of \$30 million, roughly two-thirds of which will come from the check-off fund and the balance from private contributions under \$3,000; Unlike the present check-off law, there is no incentive not to take advantage of the public funds;

Stiff criminal penalties are provided for misuse of the public funds and other violations of the act.

I ask unanimous consent that an explanation giving more details, together with the text of the bill, be printed at the conclusion of my remarks.

If public financing legislation is to accomplish its intended purpose, it is essential that it apply to the Presidential primary period as well as the general election. If individuals and interests are permitted to contribute huge amounts early in the campaign, it makes no difference that they are prohibited from doing so later; the obligation will have been incurred and the entire purpose of the reform will have been effectively undermined.

And yet, the primary period is the most difficult part of the presidential election process for which to provide public financing. We have concluded that the only way to treat all candidates fairly is by placing a premium on their ability to raise small contributions. The combination of the \$3,000 limitation on individual contributions and the availability of matching funds for contributions of \$100 and under forces candidates, in effect, to seek as wide a base as possible in financing their campaigns. That, we believe, is what candidates should have to do in seeking nomination to the highest office in the land. Whatever ability they demonstrate in raising small funds from as many individuals as possible is rewarded in direct proportion to their success.

Candidates, in short, will be going to the people instead of to the interests for their financial support. The impact this change will have not only on our political process but also on the executive branch of government will be enormous.

For the general election period, we have retained and tried to strengthen the \$1 check-off system, which we believe is a sound and effective system which has not yet been given a fair chance to prove itself. Every dollar which is designated by an individual for the presidential campaign fund is matched by another dollar from the Treasury, creating in effect a \$2 check-off which will insure sufficient funds for the general election. These funds would provide approximately two-thirds of what a candidate would be permitted to spend, the balance to be raised in individual contributions of \$3,000 and under.

Public financing of campaigns, I am convinced, is an idea whose time has finally arrived. But it is by no means a new idea. In a message to Congress in 1907—nearly 70 years ago—President Theodore Roosevelt proposed this reform, saying:

The need for collecting large campaign funds would vanish if Congress provided an appropriation for the proper and legitimate expenses of each of the great national parties, an appropriation ample enough to meet the necessity for thorough organization and machinery, which requires a large expenditure of money. Then the stipulation should be made that no party receiving campaign funds from the Treasury should accept more than a fixed amount from any individual subscriber or donor; and the necessary publicity for receipts and expenditures could without difficulty be provided.

Public financing of campaigns is the most fundamental and important reform we can adopt in this decade. At stake is nothing less than the integrity of our political system and the kind and quality of government we are going to have in this country.

tion and status of the fund during the preceding year.

"(c) APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS.—There are hereby appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, such sums as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this Act.

"Sec. 9034. Entitlements.

"(a) MATCHING PAYMENT FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF \$100 OR LESS.—Any candidate for nomination for President, or his authorized committee is entitled, upon certification by the Comptroller General, to payments from the fund for qualified campaign expenses beginning 14 months prior to the date of the general election for President in an amount equal to the amount of each contribution received by such candidate or committee (disregarding any amount of contributions from any person to the extent that such amount exceeds \$100).

"(b) VOUCHER.—To be eligible for the entitlement established by subsection (a), such candidate shall submit to the Comptroller General, at such times and in such form and manner as the Comptroller General may require, a matching payment entitlement voucher. Such voucher shall include the full name of any person making a contribution together with the date, the exact amount of the contribution, the complete address of the contributor and the occupation and principal place of business, if any, for contributors of more than \$100.

"(c) DETERMINATION AND CERTIFICATION BY COMPTROLLER GENERAL.—Comptroller General shall—

"(1) make a determination, according to such procedures as he may establish, as to whether each contribution enumerated on such voucher is consistent with the provisions of sections 9034(a) and 9035 of this chapter; and

"(2) certify for payment by the Secretary to such candidate an amount equal to the sum of the contributions enumerated on such voucher which meet the requirements of subsection (c) (1).

"(d) PAYMENT BY SECRETARY.—Promptly upon certification, the Secretary shall make a payment from the fund to such candidate in the amount certified by the Comptroller General.

"(e) AUTHORIZED COMMITTEE.—For the purposes of this section, the authorized committee of any candidate for nomination for President may submit an entitlement voucher pursuant to subsection (b) in behalf of such candidate, listing contributions received by such committee eligible for payment under this chapter.

"Sec. 9035. Limitations.

"(a) CERTIFICATION BY THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL.—The Comptroller General shall not certify pursuant to section 9034(c) (2) any portion of any contribution made by any person to a candidate or committee entitled to payments under this chapter—

"(1) which, when added to other contributions made by such person to such candidate or committee in connection with the nomination of such candidate for President, exceeds \$100; or

"(2) if payment from the fund of an amount equal to the amount of such contribution, or portion thereof, when added to any other payment from the fund to such candidate or committee during the matching payment period, is in excess of 5 cents multiplied by the voting age population of the United States (as certified to the Comptroller General by the Secretary of Commerce pursuant to section 104(a) (5) of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971).

"(b) PAYMENT BY THE SECRETARY.—The Secretary shall make no payment to a candidate or committee entitled to payments from the fund—

"(1) until the Comptroller General has certified contributions submitted by such

candidate or committee, pursuant to section 9034(b), in an aggregate amount of \$100,000; and

"(2) earlier than 14 months prior to the date of the general election for President.

"(c) QUALIFIED CAMPAIGN EXPENSES.—A candidate shall be eligible for payments from the fund only—

"(1) to defray qualified campaign expenses incurred by such candidate or his authorized committee, or

"(2) to repay loans the proceeds of which were used to defray such qualified campaign expenses, or otherwise to restore funds (other than contributions to defray qualified campaign expenses received and expended by such candidate or committee) used to defray such qualified campaign expenses.

"(d) RETURN OF UNUSED FUNDS.—Amounts received by a candidate from the fund may be retained for the liquidation of all obligations to pay qualified campaign expenses incurred during the matching payment period for a period not exceeding six months after the end of the matching payment period; and all obligations having been liquidated, that portion of any unexpended balance remaining in the candidate's accounts which bears the same ratio to the total unexpended balance as the total amount received from the fund bears to the total of all deposits made into the candidate's accounts shall be promptly repaid to the fund.

"(e) RULES AND PROCEDURES.—The Comptroller General shall make such rules and establish such procedures as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this chapter. All such rules and procedures shall be published in the Federal Register not less than thirty days prior to their effective date, and shall be available to the general public. The Comptroller General shall publish and make available forms for the making of such reports and statements as may be required, and a manual setting forth uniform methods of bookkeeping and reporting for use by persons required to make reports and statements under this chapter.

"Sec. 9036. Examinations and audits; repayments.

"(a) EXAMINATIONS AND AUDITS.—After each matching payment period, the Comptroller General shall conduct a thorough examination and audit of the qualified campaign expenses of the candidates receiving payments from the fund.

"(b) REPAYMENTS.—

"(1) If the Comptroller General determines that any portion of the payments made to a candidate from the fund was in excess of the aggregate payments to which such candidate was entitled under sections 9034 and 9035, he shall so notify such candidate, and such candidate shall pay to the Secretary an amount equal to such portion.

"(2) If the Comptroller General determines that any amount of any payment made to a candidate from the fund was used for any purpose other than—

"(A) to defray the qualified campaign expenses with respect to which such payment was made, or

"(B) to repay loans the proceeds of which were used, or otherwise to restore funds (other than contributions to defray qualified campaign expenses which were received and expended) which were used, to defray such qualified campaign expenses,

he shall notify such candidate of the amount so used, and such candidate shall pay to the Secretary an amount equal to such amount.

"(c) NOTIFICATION.—No notification shall be made by the Comptroller General under subsection (b) with respect to a matching payment period more than three years after the end of such period.

"(d) DEPOSIT OF REPAYMENTS.—All payments received by the Secretary under subsection (b) shall be deposited by him in the general fund of the Treasury.

"Sec. 9037. Criminal penalties.

"(a) EXCESS CAMPAIGN EXPENSES.—

"(1) It shall be unlawful for any candidate for nomination for election to the office of President or any of his authorized committees knowingly and willfully to incur any expenses in connection with such nomination in excess of \$15,000,000.

"(2) Any person who violates paragraph (1) shall be fined not more than \$25,000, or imprisoned not more than five years, or both. In the case of a violation by an authorized committee, any officer or member of such committee who knowingly and willfully consents to such violation shall be fined not more than \$25,000, or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

"(3) At the beginning of each calendar year (commencing in 1974), as there become available necessary data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor, the Secretary of Labor shall certify to the Comptroller General and publish in the Federal Register the per centum difference between the price index for the twelve months preceding the beginning of such calendar year and the price index for the base period. The limit on campaign expenses in paragraph (1) shall be increased by such per centum difference. The limit so increased shall be the amount in effect for such calendar year.

"(A) The term 'price index' means the average over a calendar year of the Consumer Price Index (all items—United States city average) published monthly by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

"(B) The term 'base period' means the calendar year 1972.

"(b) UNLAWFUL USE OF PAYMENTS.—

"(1) It shall be unlawful for any person who receives any payment from the fund, or to whom any portion of any payment received from the fund is transferred, knowingly and willfully to use, or authorize the use of, such payment or such portion for any purposes other than—

"(A) to defray the qualified campaign expenses with respect to which such payment was made, or

"(B) to repay loans the proceeds of which were used, or otherwise to restore funds (other than contributions to defray qualified campaign expenses which were received and expended) which were used, to defray such qualified campaign expenses.

"(2) Any person who violates paragraph (1) shall be fined not more than \$10,000, or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

"(c) FALSE STATEMENTS, ETC.—

"(1) It shall be unlawful for any person knowingly and willfully—

"(A) to furnish any false, fictitious, or fraudulent evidence, books, or information to the Comptroller General under this subtitle, or to include in any evidence, books, or information so furnished any misrepresentation of a material fact, or to falsify or conceal any evidence, books, or information relevant to a certification by the Comptroller General or an examination and audit by the Comptroller General under this chapter; or

"(B) to fail to furnish to the Comptroller General any records, books, or information requested by him for purposes of this chapter.

"(2) Any person who violates paragraph (1) shall be fined not more than \$10,000, or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

"(d) KICKBACKS AND ILLEGAL PAYMENTS.—

"(1) It shall be unlawful for any person knowingly and willfully to give or accept any kickback or any illegal payment in connection with any qualified campaign expense of a candidate receiving payment from the fund or his authorized committees.

"(2) Any person who violates paragraph (1) shall be fined not more than \$10,000, or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

"(3) In addition to the penalty provided

by paragraph (2), any person who accepts any kickback or illegal payment in connection with any qualified campaign expense of a candidate or his authorized committees shall pay to the Secretary, for deposit in the general fund of the Treasury, an amount equal to 125 percent of the kickback or payment received.

"(e) The table of chapters for subtitle H is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new item:

"Chapter 97. Presidential Primary Match-Payment Fund."

CENTRAL CAMPAIGN COMMITTEES, CAMPAIGN DEPOSITORIES, AND LIMITATIONS ON CASH TRANSACTIONS

SEC. 9. Title III of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 is amended by redesignating sections 308 through 311 as sections 311 through 314, and by inserting after section 307 the following new sections:

"CENTRAL CAMPAIGN COMMITTEES

"Sec. 308. (a) Each candidate shall designate one political committee as his central campaign committee. A candidate for nomination for election, or for election, to the office of President, may also designate one political committee in each State in which he is a candidate as his State campaign committee for that State. The designation shall be made in writing, and a copy of the designation, together with such information as the Commission may require, shall be furnished to the Commission upon the designation of any such committee.

"(b) No political committee may be designated as the central campaign committee of more than one candidate. The central campaign committee, and each State campaign committee, designated by a candidate nominated by a political party for election to the office of President shall be the central campaign committee and the State campaign committees of the candidate nominated by that party for election to the office of Vice President.

"(c) (1) Any political committee authorized by a candidate to accept contributions or make expenditures in connection with his campaign for nomination or for election, which is not a central campaign committee or a State campaign committee, shall furnish each report required of it under section 304 (other than reports required by the last clause of section 304(a)) to that candidate's central campaign committee soon enough in advance of the filing dates in section 304(a) to enable the central campaign committee to file its reports on those dates.

"(2) The supervisory officer may, by regulation, require any political committee receiving contributions or making expenditures in a State on behalf of a candidate who, under subsection (a), has designated a State central campaign committee for that State to furnish its statements and reports to that State central campaign committee.

"(3) The supervisory officer may require any political committee to furnish any statement or report directly to him.

"(d) Each political committee which is a central campaign committee shall receive all reports and statements filed with or furnished to it by other political committees, and consolidate and furnish the reports and statements to the supervisory officer, together with its own reports and statements, in accordance with the provisions of this title and regulation's prescribed by him.

"CAMPAIGN DEPOSITORIES

"Sec. 309. (a) (1) Each candidate shall designate one National or State bank as his campaign depository. The central campaign committee of that candidate, and any other political committee authorized by him to receive contributions or to make expenditures on his behalf, shall maintain a checking account at the depository so designated by the candidate and shall deposit any contributions received by that committee into that account. No expenditure may be made by any

such committee on behalf of a candidate or to influence his election except by check drawn on that account, other than petty cash expenditures as provided in subsection (b).

"(2) The treasurer of each political committee (other than a political committee authorized by a candidate to receive contributions or to make expenditures on his behalf shall designate one National or State bank as the campaign depository of that committee, and shall maintain a checking account for the committee at such depository. All contributions received by that committee shall be deposited in such account. No expenditure may be made by that committee except by check drawn on that account, other than petty cash expenditures as provided in subsection (b).

"(b) A political committee may maintain a petty cash fund out of which it may make expenditures not in excess of \$100 to any person in connection with a single purchase or transaction. A record of petty cash disbursements shall be kept in accordance with requirements established by the supervisory officer, and such statements and reports thereof shall be furnished to the supervisory officer as he may require.

"(c) A candidate for nomination for election, or for election, to the office of President may establish one such depository in each State, which shall be considered by his State campaign committee for that State and any other political committee authorized by him to receive contributions or to make expenditures on his behalf in that State, under regulations prescribed by the supervisory officer as his single campaign depository. The campaign depository of the candidate of a political party for election to the office of Vice President shall be the campaign depository designated by the candidate of that party for election to the office of President."

"LIMITATIONS ON CASH TRANSACTIONS

"Sec. 310. No political committee shall receive a contribution, or contributions in the aggregate, from any person of \$100 or more other than in the form of a check drawn on the account of the person making the contribution. No political committee shall make any expenditure of \$100 or more other than by check drawn on the account of that committee and signed by the treasurer of the committee or his delegate."

INCREASED PENALTY FOR VIOLATIONS

Sec. 10. Section 314 (as redesignated by this Act) of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 (relating to penalty for violations) is amended to read as follows:

"PENALTY FOR VIOLATIONS

"Sec. 315. (a) Violation of the provisions of this title is a misdemeanor punishable by a fine of not more than \$10,000, imprisonment for not more than one year, or both.

"(b) Violation of the provisions of this title with knowledge or reason to know that the action committed or omitted is a violation of this Act is punishable by a fine of not more than \$100,000, imprisonment for not more than five years, or both."

REPEAL OF EQUAL TIME PROVISIONS FOR PRESIDENTIAL AND VICE-PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES

Sec. 11. Section 315(a) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 315(a)) is amended by inserting after "public office" in the first sentence thereof the following: ", other than the office of President or Vice President,".

LIMITATIONS ON CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES AND PENALTY FOR EMBEZZLEMENT

Sec. 12. (a) Chapter 29 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new sections:

"Sec. 614. Limitations on Presidential campaign contributions and expenditures by persons not candidates.

"(a) (1) No person may make any contribution during any calendar year to or for the benefit of any candidate for nomination for

election, or for election, to the office of President in excess, in the aggregate, of—

"(A) \$3,000 to such candidate; and

"(B) \$1,000 to a fund maintained by a political party solely to finance the general election campaign of its candidate for President; or

"(C) \$25,000 in the case of a political committee registered under section 303 of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 which collects funds from individuals in amounts which do not exceed \$25 from any individual in any calendar year.

"(2) For purposes of this section—

"(A) a contribution to a candidate nominated by a political party for election to the office of Vice President shall be considered to be a contribution to the candidate nominated by that party for election to the office of President;

"(B) a contribution made to a political committee or fund authorized by a candidate to receive contributions for that candidate shall be considered to be a contribution to that candidate;

"(C) any contribution made in connection with a campaign in a year other than the calendar year in which the election to which that campaign relates is held shall be taken into consideration and counted toward the limitations imposed by this section for the calendar year in which that election is held; and

"(D) 'political party' means a political party which, in the next preceding Presidential election, nominated candidates for election to the offices of President and Vice President, and the electors of which party received in such election, in any or all of the States, an aggregate number of votes equal in number to at least 10 per centum of the total number of votes cast throughout the United States for all electors for candidates for President and Vice President in such election.

"(3) The limitations imposed by paragraph (1) shall not apply to contributions from a political party fund maintained in accordance with subparagraph (B) of that paragraph, or to contributions to a candidate from one of his authorized political committees.

"(b) No person who is not authorized in writing by a candidate to make expenditures on his behalf in connection with his campaign for nomination for election, or for election, to the office of President shall make any expenditure on behalf of that candidate (except by contribution made to that candidate or one of his authorized political committees) during any calendar year in excess, in the aggregate, of \$1,000.

"(c) As used in this section, the words "contribution" and "expenditure" shall not be construed to include—

"(1) personal services provided without compensation by individuals volunteering a portion or all of their time on behalf of a candidate or political committee,

"(2) communications by any organization, excluding a political party, solely to its members and their families on any subject,

"(3) communications (including advertisements) to any person on any subject by any organization which is organized solely as an issue-oriented organization, which communications neither endorse nor oppose any candidate for Federal office,

"(4) normal billing credit for a period not exceeding 30 days,

"(5) expenditures by a broadcaster regulated by the Federal Communications Commission, or by a periodical publication, in reporting the news or in taking editorial positions, or

"(6) expenditures by any organization described in section 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 which is exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code in communicating to its members the views of that organization.

"(d) Violation of the provisions of this section is punishable by a fine not to exceed \$25,000, imprisonment for not to exceed five years, or both.

"Section 615. Embezzlement or conversion of political contributions.

"Whoever, being a candidate, or an officer, employee, or agent of a political committee, or a person acting on behalf of any candidate or political committee, embezzles, knowingly converts to his own use, or to any other noncampaign use, or deposits in any place or in any manner except as authorized by law, any contributions or campaign funds entrusted to him or under his possession, custody or control; or

"Whoever receives, conceals, or retains the same with intent to convert it to his use or gain, knowing it to have been embezzled or converted—

"Shall be fined not more than \$50,000 or imprisoned not more than five years, or both; but if the value of such property does not exceed the sum of \$100, he shall be fined not more than \$1000 or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

(b) Section 591 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by striking out "and 611" and inserting in lieu thereof "611, 514, and 615".

(c) The table of sections for chapter 29 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new items:

"614. Limitations on Presidential campaign contributions and expenditures by persons not candidates.

"615. Embezzlement or conversion of political contributions."

DETAILED EXPLANATION: MONDALE-SCHWEIKER, PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN FINANCING ACT OF 1973

I. PRIMARY ELECTIONS

A. Each candidate in the Presidential primaries is entitled to matching payments from the Treasury for the first \$100 or less received from each individual contributor.

1. Payments begin 14 months prior to the date of the general election for President.

2. Any contribution made "in connection with" the candidate's campaign for nomination, in whatever year it occurs, is eligible for matching. However, all such contributions are aggregated, and no more than \$100 from any contributor may be matched.

B. Candidates must accumulate \$100,000 in matchable contributions before the first Treasury matching payments are made. Thus a candidate would have to accumulate 1000 contributions of \$100 each, 2000 contributions of \$50 each, etc. Only the first \$100 of each contribution counts toward meeting the \$100,000 requirement.

C. No candidate may receive total matching payments in excess of 5¢ for each person over 18 in the United States (roughly \$7 million).

D. No candidate may spend more than \$15 million in his campaign for the Presidential nomination.

E. Matching payments may be used only for legitimate campaign expenses during the pre-nomination period, and unspent payments must be returned to the Treasury.

F. The Comptroller General certifies eligibility for payments, and is responsible for conducting a detailed post-convention audit and obtaining repayments when necessary.

G. There are severe criminal penalties for exceeding the overall primary spending limits, and for unlawful use of payments, false statements to the Comptroller General, and kickbacks and illegal payments.

II. GENERAL ELECTION

A. The existing Presidential Election Campaign Fund Act (the \$1 check-off) is retained, with the following amendments:

1. Removes the requirement for a separate

appropriation before money from the \$1 check-off Fund becomes available.

2. Doubles the amount going into the Fund by providing for a Treasury matching payment of \$1 for each \$1 designated by a taxpayer.

3. Requires the Internal Revenue Service to give "extensive publicity" to the \$1 check-off, emphasizing that using the check-off does not increase a taxpayer's tax liability and that each \$1 checked off will be matched by another \$1 from the Treasury. Also requires that a bold print one-sentence explanation of the check-off be placed on the return next to the check-off designation.

4. Permits candidates to receive and spend private contributions of \$3000 or less (see III, below) to supplement the funds they receive from the \$1 check-off, up to a maximum overall spending limit in the general election of \$30 million.

a. Under the existing \$1 check-off law, major party candidates may not use private contributions at all if they receive their full entitlement from the check-off (15¢ per eligible voter, or roughly \$20-22 million), and minor and new Party candidates may use private contributions only to make up the difference between the smaller amount they receive from the check-off and the amount major party candidates are entitled to. (If the funds in the check-off are not sufficient to provide major party candidates with the full amount they are entitled to, they also may raise private money to make up the difference.) All candidates using the check-off money are thus limited to spending no more than \$20-22 million in the general election. Those who accept no check-off money, however, may spend an unlimited amount in the general.

b. The \$30 million limit on total spending in the general election imposed by this bill applies to all candidates (including those who decline their entitlement from the check-off), and therefore leaves no incentive for a candidate not to use the check-off. The \$30 million limit would increase with cost of living increases.

c. The \$30 million limit would permit major party candidates to supplement the \$20-22 million they receive from the check-off with \$8-10 million from private contributions of \$3000 or less. This ⅓ public, ⅔ private, ratio would continue as cost-of-living increases raised the \$30 million spending limit, and as population increases raise the amount major party candidates may receive from the check-off (15¢ times the voting age population).

d. Minor and new party candidates could receive a larger proportion of their funds from private sources to make up for their smaller entitlement under the \$1 check-off, but in no case could their total spending exceed \$30 million in the general election.

B. Payments to candidates are distributed in accordance with the existing law, i.e.:

1. Major party candidates (those whose party received 25 percent or more of the vote in the previous election)—15¢ times the 18-and-over population of the U.S.

2. Minor party candidates (those whose candidates received between 5 and 25 percent of the vote in the previous election)—a percentage of the major party candidate entitlement equal to the percentage of the average major party vote their candidate received in the preceding or current election (whichever is larger).

3. New party candidates—If the candidates receive more than 5 percent of the vote in the current election, he is repaid after the election according to the percentage of the average major party vote received.

C. The Comptroller General certifies eligibility for payments and is responsible for conducting a detailed post-convention audit and obtaining repayments when necessary.

D. There are severe criminal penalties for exceeding the overall general election spend-

ing limit, and for unlawful use of payments, false statements to the Comptroller General, and kickbacks and illegal payments.

III. CONTRIBUTION LIMITS FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGNS

A. No individual, organization, or group may contribute more than a total of \$3000 to any Presidential candidate in connection with his campaign, and another \$1000 to a fund maintained by a political party solely to finance the general election campaign of its candidate for President.

1. Contributions given in any year "in connection with" the campaign count toward the limits.

B. However, if a political committee registered under the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 aggregates or "pools" individual contributions of no more than \$25, it may give up to \$25,000 to a Presidential candidate.

C. Individuals or groups acting independently (i.e., without written authorization from the candidate) may spend no more than \$1000 on behalf of a candidate.

D. A political party may spend an unlimited amount on behalf of its candidate for President from its special Presidential campaign fund (subject to the overall \$30 million spending limit), but the party must receive written authorization from the candidate to spend more than \$1000 on his behalf.

IV. MISCELLANEOUS

A. The equal time provisions are removed for Presidential candidates.

B. Each candidate is required to set up a single central campaign committee to handle reporting of receipts and expenditures, and a single campaign depository through which all receipts and expenditures must be channeled.

C. All cash transactions (contributions or expenditures) of \$100 or over are prohibited.

D. The existing tax credit is doubled to make it one-half of any contribution up to \$50 (\$100 for joint returns), and the existing deduction is doubled to \$100 (\$200 for joint returns).

E. The penalty for misdemeanor violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 (now \$1,000 and/or one year imprisonment) is increased to \$10,000 and/or one year in prison, and knowing violations are made a felony punishable by a fine of up to \$100,000 and/or imprisonment for up to five years.

F. Embezzlement or conversion to non-campaign use of political contributions is made a felony punishable by a fine of up to \$50,000 and/or imprisonment of up to five years.

Mr. SCHWEIKER. Mr. President, I am pleased to join the Senator from Minnesota, Senator MONDALE, in introducing a comprehensive new plan to use public financing for Presidential primary and general elections.

Congress and the courts have taken many steps during the past decade to insure that political representation of all people reflects principles of one-man, one vote. Now is the time to extend one-man-one-vote principles to the election process itself.

Campaign costs have skyrocketed in recent years, creating a climate where officeseekers too often become dependent on large contributions to wage their campaigns. The shocking revelations of Watergate clearly indicate we must take dramatic steps to eliminate the corrosive effect of large contributions from our election process.

Our public financing bill is designed to strengthen our Presidential political